

Table of Cases

Cases in this table are listed by jurisdiction and, unless specifically stated otherwise, in chronological order, based on the date of the final judgment or settlement in the case. For Japanese cases that are commonly known with a popular case name (e.g. the *Tsuruoka Oil Cartel* case), the popular case name precedes the citation. The number following the case reference indicates the page in the book where the case is discussed or mentioned.

Belgium

Cass. 21 March 1985, ARR. CASS. 1984-85, at 1008: **204**

Cass. 16 November 1995, R.W. 1995-96, at 1318: **204**

Cass. 7 January 2000, R.C.J.B. 2001, at 249 (Multipharma Groep v. Louis Widmer): **202**

Civ. Bruxelles [Court of First Instance Brussels], 8 March 2007 (SNF SAS v. Cytec Industrie BV), 2007(2) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 303-318, note Alexis Mourre & Luca Radicati di Brozolo and 2007 TIJDSCHRIFT VOOR BELGISCHE MEDEDINGING 57-58, note P. Wytinck & H. Burez and 2007/8 TIJDSCHRIFT VOOR BELGISCH HANDELSRECHT 842, note Didier Matray & Gautier Matray: **217**

Bruxelles [Court of Appeal Brussels], 22 June 2009 (Cytec Industrie BV v. SNF SAS), 2009(3) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 574-593, note Alexis Mourre and 2009 TIJDSCHRIFT VOOR BELGISCHE MEDEDINGING 41-42, note P. Wytinck & H. Burez: **217**

Denmark

Højesteret [Supreme Court], 20 April 2005, Case 387/2002 (GT Linien A/S (under konkurs [in insolvency]) v. DSB et al.): **177, 230**

Østre Landsret [Danish Eastern High Court], 20 May 2009, no. B-3355-06 (Forbruger-Kontakt a-s (Søndagsavisen a-s) v. Post Danmark A/S): **230**

England and Wales

American Cyanamid Co. v. Ethicon Ltd., [1975] AC 396: **205**

Garden Cottage Foods Ltd. v. Milk Marketing Board, [1984] AC 130: **164, 204**

Prentice Ltd. v. DaimlerChrysler UK Ltd., ordered on 30 April 2001, settled (Comm): **179**

Arkin v. Borchard Lines Ltd., [2003] EWHC 687 (Comm): **185**

Provimi Ltd. v. Aventis Animal Nutrition SA, [2003] EWHC 961 (Comm): **167, 169, 226**

- Crehan v. Intreprenneur Pub Co, [2004] EWCA (Civ) 637: **164, 165**
- English Welsh and Scottish Railway Ltd. v. Enron Coal Services Ltd., [2009] EWCA (Civ) 647: **282**
- BCL Old Co Ltd v. Aventis SA, [2005] CAT 2: **281**
- The Consumers Association (Which?) v. JJB Sports Plc, Case no. 1078/7/9/07 (Competition Appeal Tribunal), registered on 5 March 2007: **180**
- Devenish Nutrition Ltd. v. Sanofi-Aventis SA, [2007] EWHC 2394 (Ch): **172, 211**
- Devenish Nutrition Ltd. v. Sanofi-Aventis SA, [2008] EWCA (Civ) 1086: **172, 211**
- Emerald Supplies Ltd. v. British Airways plc, [2009] EWHC 741 (Ch): **179**
- Emerald Supplies Ltd. v. British Airways plc, [2010] EWCA (Civ) 1284: **179**
- National Grid Electricity Transmission plc v. ABB Ltd. et al., [2009] EWHC 1326 (Ch): **282**
- Cooper Tire & Rubber Company v. Shell Chemicals UK Ltd, [2009] EWHC 2609 (Comm): **169, 282**
- Cooper Tire & Rubber Company Europe Ltd et al. v. Dow Deutschland Inc. et al., [2010] EWCA (Civ) 864.: **169**
- Safeway Stores Ltd. et al. v. Twigger et al., [2010] EWHC 11 (Comm): **220**
- Albion Water Ltd v DŴR Cymru Cyfyngedig, [2010] CAT 30: **173**
- Safeway Stores Ltd. v. Twigger et al., [2010] EWCA (Civ) 1472: **220**
- Emerson Electric Co. et al. v. Morgan Crucible Company plc et al., [2011] CAT 4: **282**
- National Grid Electricity Transmission plc v. ABB Ltd. et al., [2011] EWHC 1717 (Ch): **191, 195**
- National Grid Electricity Transmission plc v. ABB Ltd. et al., [2012] EWHC 869 (Ch): **190, 199**
- 2 Travel Group plc (in liquidation) v. Cardiff City Transport Services Limited, [2012] CAT 19: **173**

European Union (in numerical order)

- Case 13/61, *Vennootschap onder de Firma Kledingverkoopbedrijf de Geus en Uitdenbogerd v. Robert Bosch GmbH et al.*, 1962 E.C.R. 45: **154**
- Case 26/62, *Van Gend & Loos v. Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen*, 1963 E.C.R. 3: **154**
- Case 56/65, *Société Technique Minière v. Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH*, 1966 E.C.R.: **208-209**
- Case 23/67, *SA Brasserie de Haecht v. Wilkin et al.*, 1967 E.C.R. 407: **154, 207, 208**

- Case 48/72, SA Brasserie de Haecht v. Wilkin-Janssen, 1973 E.C.R. 77: **154, 207, 208**
- Case 127/73 Belgische Radio en Televisie v. SABAM, 1974 E.C.R. 51: **154, 162**
- Case 21/76, Handelskwekerij G.J. Bier B.V. v. Mines de potasse d'Alsace S.A., 1976 E.C.R. 1735: **167**
- Case 26/76, Metro SB-Grossmärkte GmbH & Co. KG v. Commission, 1977 E.C.R. 1875: **221**
- Case 33/76, Rewe-Zentralfinanz eG et al. v. Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland, 1976 E.C.R. 1989: **150**
- Case 45/76, Comet v. Produktschap voor Siergewassen, 1976 E.C.R. 2034: **150**
- Case 210/81, Oswald Schmidt, trading as Demo-Studio Schmidt v. Commission, 1983 E.C.R. 3045: **221**
- Case 319/82, Société de Vente de Ciments et Bétons de l'Est SA v. Kerpen & Kerpen GmbH & Co KG, 1983 E.C.R. 4173: **209**
- Case 10/86, VAG France SA v. Établissements Magne SA, 1986 E.C.R. 4071: **209**
- Case 66/86, Ahmed Saeed Flugreisen et al. v. Zentrale zur Bekämpfung unlauteren Wettbewerbs e.V., 1989 E.C.R. 803: **148, 208**
- Case C-2/88 imm., Zwartveld et al., 1990 E.C.R. 3365 and 4405: **195**
- Case C-213/89, The Queen v. Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte: Factortame et al., 1990 E.C.R. I-2433: **155, 201**
- Case C-234/89, Delimitis v. Henninger Bräu AG, 1991 E.C.R. I-935: **156, 207, 209**
- Joined Cases C-6/90 and C-9/90, Francovich et al. v. Italian Republic, 1991 E.C.R. I-5357: **155, 162**
- Case T-24/90, Automec srl v. Commission, 1990 E.C.R. II-367: **149, 221**
- Case C-271/91, Marshall v. Southampton and South-West Hampshire Area Health Authority, 1993 E.C.R. I-4367: **187**
- Case C-68/93, Shevill et al. v. Press Alliance SA, 1995 E.C.R. I-415: **167**
- Joined Cases C-430/93 and C-431/93, Van Schijndel et al. v. Stichting Pensioenfonds voor Fysiotherapeuten, 1995 E.C.R. I-4705: **210**
- Case C-242/95, GT-Link A/S v. De Danske Statsbaner (DSB), E.C.R. I-4449: **149, 211**
- Joined Cases T-185/96, T-189/96 and T-190/96, Riviera Auto Service Etablissements Dalmaso SA et al. v. Commission, 1999 E.C.R. II-93: **209**
- Case C-230/96, Cabour SA et al. v. Arnor "SOCO" SARL, 1998 E.C.R. I-2055: **209**
- Case C-126/97, Eco Swiss China Time Ltd v. Benetton International NV, 1999 E.C.R. I-3055: **210, 212-214, 219**

- Case C-344/98, Masterfoods Ltd. v. HB Ice Cream Ltd., 2000 E.C.R. I-11369: **188, 209**
- Case C-453/99, Courage Ltd. v. Crehan, 2001 E.C.R. I-6297: **148, 149, 155, 162-163, 175, 207, 208, 209**
- Joined Cases C-264/01, C-306/01, C-354/01 and C-355/01, AOK Bundesverband et al. v. Ichtyol-Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermani & Co. et al., 2004 E.C.R. I-2493: **149, 201**
- Case T-2/03, Verein für Konsumenteninformation v. Commission, 2005 E.C.R. II-1121: **193**
- Case C-539/03, Roche Nederland BV et al. v. Primus et al., 2006 E.C.R. I-6535: **167, 168, 193**
- Joined Cases C-295/04 to 298/04, Manfredi et al. v. Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazione SpA et al., 2006 E.C.R. I-6619: **148, 149, 155, 162-163, 164, 172, 186, 207, 210, 276**
- Case C-98/06, Freeport v. Arnoldsson, 2007 E.C.R. I-8319: **168**
- Case C-279/06, CEPSA Estaciones de Servicio SA v. LV Toba e Hijos SL, 2008 E.C.R. I-6681: **165, 208**
- C-139/07, Commission v. Technische Glaswerke Ilmenau GmbH, 2010 E.C.R. I-05885: **194**
- Case C-429/07, Inspecteur van de Belastingdienst v. X B.V., 2009 E.C.R. I-4833: **190**
- Case T-344/08, EnBW Energie Baden-Württemberg AG v. Commission, not yet reported (22 May 2012): **193, 194**
- Case T-427/08, Confédération européenne des associations d'horlogers-réparateurs (CEAHR) v. Commission, E.C.R. II-5865: **221**
- Case T-437/08, CDC Hydrogene Peroxide Cartel Damage Claims (CDC Hydrogene Peroxide) v. Commission, not yet reported (15 December 2011): **193, 194**
- Case C-439/08, Vlaamse Federatie van Verenigingen van Brood- en Banketbakers, Ijsbereiders en Chocoladebewerders (VEBIC) VZW, E.C.R. I-12471: **150**
- Case C-360/09, Pfeiderer AG v. Bundeskartellamt, not yet reported (14 June 2011): **196-197**
- Case C-145/10, Painer v. Standard Verlags GmbH et al., not yet reported (1 December 2011): **167, 168**
- Case C-404/10 P, Commission v. Editions Odile Jacob SAS, not yet reported (28 June 2012): **194**
- Case C-477/10 P, Commission v. Agrofert Holding a.s., not yet reported (28 June 2012): **194**
- Case C-616/10, Solvay SA v. Honeywell Fluorine Products Europe BV et al., not yet reported (22 December 2010): **167, 168**

France

- Cour d'appel [regional court of appeal] Paris, 1^e ch., 30 September 1998 (Mors S.A. v. Labinal S.A.): **230**
- Cour de cassation [Supreme Court for Judicial Matters], 1^e civ., 21 March 2000 (Verhoeft v. Moreau), 2001(4) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 807, note Yves Derains: **215**
- Cour d'appel [regional court of appeal] Paris, 1^e ch., 18 November 2004 (Thalès Air Défense v. GIE Euromissile), 2005(3) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 751-760: **215**
- Cour d'appel [regional court of appeal] Paris, 1^e ch., 23 March 2006 (SNF v. Cytec Industrie BV), 2007(1) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 100, note Sylvain Bollée: **215**
- Tribunal de commerce [Commercial Court] Nanterre, 11 May 2006, No. 2004FO2643 (Arkopharma v. Roche and Hoffman La Roche): **176**
- Tribunal de commerce [Commercial Court] Paris, 26 January 2007, No. 2003048044 (Laboratoires Juva v. Roche/Hoffman La Roche): **177**
- Tribunal de Commerce [Commercial Court] Paris 6 December 2007, RG 2006/057440 (UFC Que Choisir v. SFR): **273**
- Cour de cassation [Supreme Court for Judicial Matters], 1^e civ., 4 June 2008, No. 680 (SNF v. Cytec Industrie BV), 2008 REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 473-475, note Ibrahim Fadlallah: **216**
- Cour d'appel [regional court of appeal] Paris, 1^e ch., 22 October 2009, 2010(1) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 124-128 (2010), note François-Xavier Train: **216**
- Cour d'appel [regional court of appeal] Paris, 22 January 2010, RG 08-09844 (UFC Que Choisir v. Bouygues Telecom SA): **273**
- Cour de cassation [Supreme Court for Judicial Matters], com., 15 June 2010, No. 09-15.816 (Doux Aliments v. Ajinomoto Eurolyne): **176**
- Cour de cassation [Supreme Court for Judicial Matters], civ., 26 May 2011, No. 10-15.676 (UFC Que Choisir v. Bouygues Telecom SA): **273**
- Tribunal de commerce [Commercial Court] Paris, 24 August 2011, RG 2011/014911 (SAS Ma Liste de Courses v. Société HighCo 3.0 SA et al.): **196**
- Tribunal de commerce [Commercial Court] Paris, 16 March 2012, RG 2011/014911 (SAS Ma Liste de Courses v. Société HighCo 3.0 SA et al.): **196**
- Tribunal de commerce [Commercial Court] Paris, 16 March 2012, RG 2011/023307 and RG 2011/017303 (SARL DKT International v. SA Eco-emballages et al.): **196**

Germany

- Landgericht [Regional Court] Dortmund 1 April 2004, 13 O 55/02 Kart.: **167, 230**
- Bundesgerichtshof [Federal Court of Justice] 7 April 2009, Case No. KZR 42/08: **181**

Oberlandesgericht [Higher Regional Court] Karlsruhe 11 June 2010, 6-U 118/05 Kart.: **177**

Bundesgerichtshof [Federal Court of Justice] 28 June 2011, Case No. KZR 75/10: **177**

Amtsgericht [Local Court] Bonn 18 January 2012, 51 Gs 53/09: **197**

Landgericht [Regional Court] Mannheim 4 May 2012, 7 O 436/11 Kart.: **30, 166**

Italy

Corte di Appello di Roma, 20 January 2003, Foro it. 2003, I, 9, 2474 (Albacom s.p.a. et al. v. Telecom Italia s.p.a.): **230**

Cass., sez. un., 4 February 2005, n. 2207 (Unipol Assicurazioni v. Ricciardelli): **176**

Cass., sez. III, 2 February 2007, n. 2305 (Fondiarria SAI SpA v. Nigriello): **187**

Japan

Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 9 March 1953, 2 HANREI JIHŌ 8 (K.K. Asahi Shinbunsha et al. v. JFTC): **134**

Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.], settled 19 February 1958, 9 SHINKETSUSHŪ 162 (K.K. Kosaka Yakkyoku v. Taishō Seiyaku K.K.): **59, 130**

Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 24 November 1958, Shōwa 33 (gyō-na) no. 41, 17 SHINKETSUSHŪ 269-273 (Shige Katō v. Tokkyo sponji surippa kyōkai soshiki et al.), *aff'd* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 27 September 1960, Shōwa 34 (o) no. 318, 17 SHINKETSUSHŪ 274-279: **50, 130**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 27 July 1960, 11(7) GYŌSHŪ 2075 (Zenkoku shōhisha dantai renrakukai [National Liason Group of Consumers] v. Japan and JFTC), *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 26 April 1961, 12(4) TOKYO MINJIHŌ 79: **126**

“Ebisu Shokuhin Kigyō Kumiai case” – Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 16 November 1972, Shōwa 43 (gyō-tsu) no. 3, 26(9) MINSHŪ 1573 (Ebisu shokuhin kigyō kumiai v. JFTC): **54, 126**

Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 10 July 1975, Shōwa 46 (gyō-tsu) 82, 29(6) MINSHŪ 888: **74**

“Gifu Credit Cooperative case” – Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 20 June 1977, Shōwa 48 (o) no. 1113, 31(4) MINSHŪ 449 (K.K. Miyagawa v. Gifu Shōkō Shinyōkumiai [Gifu Commercial and Industrial Credit Cooperative]): **118-119**

“Tsu Jichinsai case” – Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 13 July 1977, 31 MINSHŪ 533 (Kakunaga v. Sekiguchi): **93**

“Matsushita Television Resale Price Maintenance case” – Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 19 September 1977, Shōwa 46 (gyō-ke) nos. 66, 99, 24 SHINKETSUSHŪ 313 (Ōkawa v. Matsushita Denki Sangyō K.K.): **61, 72, 141**

- Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 30 March 1978, 32(2) MINSHŪ 485: **100**
- “First Tokyo Oil Cartel case” – Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.], settled 2 July 1981, Shōwa 43 (gyō-ke) nos. 130, 165, 28 SHINKETSUSHŪ 188 (Noriko Miyazaki v. Nihon Sekiyu K.K.): **64, 131, 138, 141, 257-264**
- “Tagonoura Sludge Dumping case” – Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 13 July 1982, 1054 HANREI JIHŌ 52: **95**
- “Second Tokyo Oil Cartel case” – Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 17 July 1981, 41(5) MINSHŪ 879 (Kai v. Nihon Sekiyu K.K.), *aff’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 2 July 1987, 41(5) MINSHŪ 785-879: **61, 64, 72, 131, 141, 257-264**
- Matsuyama Chihō Saibansho [Matsuyama Dist. Ct.] 19 April 1976, 27441751 (Lex/DB Database), *aff’d* Takamatsu Kōtō Saibansho [Takamatsu High Ct.] 8 April 1986, 629 HANREI TAIMUZU 179, *aff’d on other grounds* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 24 November 1989, 724 HANREI TAIMUZU 160 (Iyo tetsudō K.K. [Iyo Railways K.K.] v. Okudōgo onsen kankō basu K.K. [Okudōgo Hot Spring Tourist Bus K.K.]): **118**
- “Tsuruoka Oil Cartel case” – Yamagata Chihō Saibansho [Yamagata Dist. Ct.], Tsuruoka Shibu [Tsuruoka Branch], 31 March 1981, 43(11) MINSHŪ 1340 (Satō v. Sekiyu Renmei), *rev’d* Sendai Kōtō Saibansho [Sendai High Ct.] 26 March 1985, 43(11) MINSHŪ 1539, *rev’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 8 December 1989, Shōwa 60 (o) nos. 933, 1162, 43(11) MINSHŪ 1259: **54-55, 61, 64, 72, 131, 141, 257-264**
- “Edwin and Lee Jeans case” – Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 21 June 1993, 141 JURISUTO 254 (Shinshinshōji K.K. v. Edōin K.K. and Rī Japan K.K.): **82**
- “Toshiba Elevator case” – Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 30 July 1990, 1365 HANREI JIHŌ 91 (Kōsei Denki Y.K. et al. v. Tōshiba shōkōki sābisu), *aff’d* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 30 July 1993, 1479 HANREI JIHŌ 21 (Kōsei denki Y.K. et al. v. Tōshiba erebēta tekunosu K.K.): **131, 136**
- Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 24 July 1992, Heisei 3 (wa) 9872, 39 SHINKETSUSHŪ 581 (Miyake Masayoshi v. Oppen keshōhin K.K.), *aff’d* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 14 September 1993, Heisei 4 (ne) no. 1924, 40 SHINKETSUSHŪ 667: **59**
- Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 14 December 1993, 840 HANREI TAIMUZU 81, 88 (Japan v. Toppan mūa et al.): **134**
- “Belgian Diamond Pyramid Scheme – Tokyo case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 29 August 1989, unpublished decision (Yasuo Matsumoto et al. v. Berugī daiyamondo K.K. et al.), *rev’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 29 March 1993, 39 SHINKETSUSHŪ 608, *aff’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 14 February 1997, 44 SHINKETSUSHŪ 789: **131**

“Belgian Diamond Pyramid Scheme – Osaka I case” – Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 11 March 1991, 39 SHINKETSUSHŪ 629 (Kazumi et al. v. Berugī Daiyamondo K.K. et al.), *partially revised* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 29 June 1993, 1475 HANREI JIHŌ 77, *aff’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 14 February 1997, 44 SHINKETSUSHŪ 792: **131**

Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 7 November 1995, 1566 HANREI JIHŌ 85 (K.K. Ferokkusu v. K.K. Aroinsu Keshōhin), *aff’d on other grounds* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 28 March 1997, 1612 HANREI JIHŌ 62: **59**

“Ehime Shrine Donation case” – Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 2 April 1997, 1601 HANREI JIHŌ 47 (Anzai v. Governor of Ehime Prefecture): **93**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 9 April 1997, 44 SHINKETSUSHŪ 635 (Dejikon Denshi K.K. v. Nihon yūgijō kyōdō kumiai [Toy Gun Association] et al.): **85**

Nagoya Chihō Saibansho [Nagoya Dist. Ct.] 9 July 1997, Heisei 2 (wa) no. 2755, 45 SHINKETSUSHŪ 510 (Gōshigaisha Uesutan v. Nagoya naka yūgijō bōhan kumiai [Nagoya Naka Amusement Center Crime Prevention Association]): **118**

Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 11 July 1997, Heisei 5 (o) no. 1762, 51(6) MINSHŪ 2573 (Sakuragi v. Mansei Kōgyō K.K.): **60**

Tsu Chihō Saibansho [Tsu Dist. Ct.] 20 August 1998, 28050305 (Residents of Mie Prefecture v. Yokogawa Denki K.K.) (Lex/DB Database): **97**

“Fujiki v. Shiseidō Cosmetics case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 27 September 1993, Heisei 3 (wa) no. 15347, 1474 HANREI JIHŌ 25, *rev’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 14 September 1994, 1507 HANREI JIHŌ, *aff’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 18 December 1998, Heisei 6 (o) no. 2415, 1664 HANREI JIHŌ 3, 52 MINSHŪ 1866 (K.K. Fujiki honten v. Shiseidō Tokyo Hanbai K.K.): **83**

“Kaō Cosmetics case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 18 July 1994, 1500 HANREI JIHŌ 3, *rev’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 31 July 1997, 1624 HANREI JIHŌ 55, *aff’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 18 December 1998, 1664 HANREI JIHŌ 14 (Y.K. Egawakikaku v. Kaō Keshōhin Hanbai): **84**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 14 May 1998, 976 HANREI TAIMUZU 277 (X et al. on behalf of Nomura shōken v. Y), *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 27 January 1999, 1064 KINYŪ SHŌJI HANREI 21: **124**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 13 March 1997, 43 SHINKETSUSHŪ 499 (Asai et al. on behalf of Nikkō Shōken K.K. v. Iwasaki et al.), *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 23 February 1999, 1058 HANREI TAIMUZU 251, 43 SHINKETSUSHŪ 499: **124**

Yokohama Chihō Saibansho [Yokohama Dist. Ct.], Kawasaki Shibu [Kawasaki Branch], 17 March 1994, Heisei 2 (mo) nos. 358, 576, 577, 40 SHINKETSUSHŪ 715 (U.S.A. v. Hosaka Kensetsu K.K.), *appealed* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.], settled 8 September 1999, Heisei 6 (ne) no. 1295: **77, 138**

- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.], settled 21 December 1999, 190 SHIRYŌBAN SHŌJI 233 (X on behalf of Hitachi Seisakusho K.K. v. Y): **125**
- Tsu Chihō Saibansho [Tsu Dist. Ct.] 8 June 2000, 47 SHINKETSUSHŪ 623 (Residents of Mie Prefecture v. Kitakawa et al.): **97**
- “Kawachiya v. Shiseidō Cosmetics case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 30 June 2000, Heisei 6 (wa) no. 22275, 47 SHINKETSUSHŪ 640 (K.K. Kawachiya v. Shiseidō Hanbai K.K.): **84**
- “Nomura Shōken Derivative Suit” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 16 September 1993, 827 HANREI TAIMUZU 39, *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 26 September 1995, Heisei 6 (ne) no. 1809, Heisei 5 (ne) no. 4998, Heisei 5 (ne) 3788, 890 HANREI TAIMUZU 45, 42 SHINKETSUSHŪ 481 *aff’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 7 July 2000, Heisei 8 (o) 270, 1046 HANREI TAIMUZU 92 (A et al. on behalf of Nomura Shōken v. X): **124**
- “Seal Bid-rigging case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 31 March 2000, Heisei 12 (ne) no. 2915, 1734 HANREI JIHŌ 28, 46 SHINKETSUSHŪ 695 (Japan v. Dai Nihon Insatsu K.K. et al.), *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 8 February 2001, 1742 HANREI JIHŌ 96, 47 SHINKETSUSHŪ 690 (Japan v. Dai Nihon Insatsu K.K. et al.): **117, 120, 129**
- Nara Chihō Saibansho [Nara Dist. Ct.] 20 October 1999, Heisei 8 (gyō-u) no. 2, 1041 HANREI TAIMUZU 182 (Residents of Nara Prefecture v. Yokogawa Denki K.K.), *modified by* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 8 March 2001, Heisei 11 (gyō-ko) nos. 94-98, 47 SHINKETSUSHŪ 748: **71, 98, 104, 108, 129**
- Matsue Chihō Saibansho [Matsue Dist. Ct.] 15 March 2000, Heisei 5 (gyō-u) no. 1, 2001 WLJP CA03076001 (Westlaw Japan) (Residents of Shimane Prefecture v. K.K. Kuramoto Gumi), *partly revised* Hiroshima Kōtō Saibansho [Hiroshima High Ct.], Matsue Shibu [Matsue Branch] 7 March 2001, Heisei 12 (gyō-ko) no. 7, 2000 WLJP CA03156001 (Westlaw Japan): **107**
- Matsue Chihō Saibansho [Matsue Dist. Ct.] 15 March 2000, Heisei 6 (gyō-u) no. 2, 2000 WLJP CA03156002 (Westlaw Japan) (Residents of Shimane Prefecture v. K.K. Kuramoto Gumi), *aff’d* Hiroshima Kōtō Saibansho [Hiroshima High Ct.], Matsue Shibu [Matsue Branch] 7 March 2001, Heisei 12 (gyō-ko) no. 6, 2001 WLJP CA03076002 (Westlaw Japan): **107**
- Urawa Chihō Saibansho [Urawa Dist. Ct.] 13 March 2000, Heisei 4 (gyō-u) no. 13, 211 HANREI CHIHŌ 22, *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 26 April 2001, Heisei 12 (gyō-ko) no. 245, 25410184 (Lex/DB Database) (Residents of Saitama Prefecture v. Kajima Kensetsu K.K. et al.): **104-107, 133**
- Nara Chihō Saibansho [Nara Dist. Ct.] 23 May 2001, 225 HANREI CHIHŌ JICHI 17: **137**
- “Max Factor Cosmetics case” – Kobe Chihō Saibansho [Kobe Dist. Ct.] 17 September 2002, 49 SHINKETSUSHŪ 766 (K.K. Fujiki Honten v. Makkusu Fakultā K.K. et al.): **85**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 1 October 1997, Heisei 6 kei (wa) no. 509, 962 HANREI TAIMUZU 62, *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 25 April 2001, Heisei 10 (u) no. 360, 1068 HANREI TAIMUZU 248 *aff'd* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 14 January 2003, Heisei 13 (a) no. 884, 1113 HANREI TAIMUZU 132: **105**

“Toyama Prefecture Bid-rigging case” – Toyama Chihō Saibansho [Toyama Dist. Ct.] 16 April 1997, Heisei 8 (gyō-u) no. 1 (Residents of Toyama Prefecture v. Yokogawa Denki K.K.), *aff'd* Nagoya Kōtō Saibansho [Nagoya High Ct.], Kanazawa shibu [Kanazawa Branch], 22 April 1998, Heisei 9 (gyō-ko) no. 3, *rev'd* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 2 July 2002, Heisei 10 (gyō-hi) no. 51, 49 SHINKETSUSHŪ 713, 56(6) MINSHŪ 1049, *remanded to* Toyama Chihō Saibansho [Toyama Dist. Ct.], settled 2 May 2003: **98, 109**

Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 9 September 2003, Heisei 14 (gyō-hi) no. 242, 1138 HANREI TAIMUZU 57, 50 SHINKETSUSHŪ 739 (Japan Fair Trade Commission v. Nihon Kōkan K.K. et al.): **74**

Tokushima Chihō Saibansho [Tokushima Dist. Ct.] 13 June 2003, Heisei 12 (gyō-u) no. 3, 50 SHINKETSUSHŪ 889 (Residents of Tokushima Prefecture v. Governor of Tokushima et al.), *rev'd* Takamatsu Kōtō Saibansho [Takamatsu High Ct.] 11 March 2004, Heisei 15 (gyō-ko) no. 19, 2004 WLJP CA03119005 (Westlaw Japan): **109-110**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 20 May 2004, Heisei 14 (wa) no. 430, 51 SHINKETSUSHŪ 991 (X et al. on behalf of Mitsubishi Shōji v. Y.): **125**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 28 January 1999, Heisei 8 (gyō-u) no. 36, 1693 HANREI JIHŌ 39 (Residents of Machida City v. Meidensha K.K. et al.), *rev'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 20 December 1999, Heisei 11 (gyō-ko) no. 49, 1709 HANREI JIHŌ 14, *aff'd* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 18 July 2002, Heisei 12 (gyō-hi) nos. 76-77, 79-85, *remanded to* Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 29 July 2004, Heisei 14 (gyō-u) no. 308, settled 29 July 2004: **108**

Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 3 March 2005, Heisei 15 (wa) no. 1807, 1934 HANREI JIHŌ 121 (X et al. on behalf of Nihon Shinpan K.K. v. Y.): **125**

Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 9 June 2004, 51 SHINKETSUSHŪ 935 (Eapōtopuresusābisu K.K. v. Kansai kokusai kūko shinbun hanbai K.K. et al.), *aff'd* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 5 July 2005, 52 SHINKETSUSHŪ 856: **87**

“Yamato v. Japan Post case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 19 January 2006, 1203 HANREI TAIMUZU 81 (Yamato unyu K.K. v. Yūbin jigyō K.K.), *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 28 November 2007, 54 SHINKETSUSHŪ 699 (Yamato unyu K.K. v. Nihon yūsei kōsha), *aff'd* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 17 February 2009, 55 SHINKETSUSHŪ 1023: **136, 279-280**

- Kyoto Chihō Saibansho [Kyoto Dist. Ct.] 30 October 2003, Heisei 13 (gyō-u) no. 16, 25410330 (Lex/DB Database), *aff'd* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 31 January 2006, Heisei 15 (gyō-ko) no. 108, 28131657 (Lex/DB Database) (Residents of Uji City v. X): **101-102**
- “Sankōgan case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 15 April 2004, Heisei 14 (wa) no. 28262, 51 SHINKETSUSHŪ 877, *appealed* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.], settled 14 February 2006, Heisei 16 (ne) no. 2848: **80**
- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 23 February 2006, Heisei 15 (gyō-u) no. 152, Heisei 16 (gyō-u) no. 475, 1226 HANREI TAIMUZU 75, *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 27 September 2006, Heisei 18 (gyō-ko) no. 92, 1233 HANREI TAIMUZU 169: **75**
- “Atsugi Navy Base Bid-rigging case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 15 July 2002, Heisei 6 (wa) no. 18372, 49 SHINKETSUSHŪ 720 (USA v. Atarashi K.K. et al.), *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 5 October 2006, Heisei 14 (ne) no. 4622, 1960 HANREI JIHŌ 28: **56**
- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 28 April 2006, Heisei 12 (gyō-u) no. 203, 53 SHINKETSUSHŪ 1087, *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 19 October 2006, Heisei 18 (gyō-u) no. 149, 53 SHINKETSUSHŪ 1110: **57**
- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 1 September 2006, Heisei 16 (mo) no. 4715, 1250 KINYŪ SHŌJI HANREI 14 *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 16 February 2007, Heisei 18 (ra) no. 1437, 1303 KINYŪ SHŌJI HANREI 58 (JFTC v. X et al.): **78**
- Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 24 April 2007, Heisei 17 (gyō-hi) no. 341, 61(3) MINSHŪ 1153: **98**
- Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.] 10 September 2008, Heisei 19 (mo) no. 347, 25440014 (Lex/DB Database): **78**
- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 10 December 2008, 55 SHINKETSUSHŪ 1029 (USEN K.K. v. K.K. Kyanshisutemu): **69, 141, 142**
- “Bid-rigging for Waste Incineration Plant in Yokohama” – Yokohama Chihō Saibansho [Yokohama Dist. Ct.] 21 June 2006, Heisei 12 (gyō-ko) no. 34, 2006 WLJP CA06219003 (Westlaw Japan) (Residents of Yokohama City v. JFE Engineering K.K. et al.), *aff'd* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 18 March 2008, Heisei 18 (gyō-ko) nos. 191, 240, 2008 WLJP CA03189005 (Westlaw Japan), *aff'd* unreported Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 23 April 2009: **138, 231**
- Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 23 April 2009, 63(4) MINSHŪ 703 (Residents of Uji City v. Uji City): **68, 101-103**

- “Bid-rigging for Waste Incineration Plant in Tokyo” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 20 March 2007, Heisei 12 (gyō-u) no. 185, 2007 WLJP CA03208020 (Westlaw Japan), *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 12 May 2009, Heisei 19 (gyō-ko) nos. 119, 151, 2009 WLJP CA05126001 (Westlaw Japan): **114, 138, 231**
- “AMD v. Intel case I” – Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.], settled 11 November 2009, Heisei 17 (wa) no. 4 (Nihon ei emu di K.K. [AMD Japan Ltd.] v. Intel K.K.): **58, 171**
- “AMD v. Intel case II” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.], settled 11 November 2009, Heisei 17 (wa) no. 13151 (Nihon ei emu di K.K. [AMD Japan Ltd.] v. Intel K.K.): **58, 171**
- Yamagata Chihō Saibansho [Yamagata Dist. Ct.] 10 March 2009, Heisei 18 (gyō-u) no. 2 (Shimin onbuzuman Yamagata Prefecture kaigi et al. v. Governor of Yamagata Prefecture et al.), *aff’d* Sendai Kōtō Saibansho [Sendai High Ct.] 12 March 2010, Heisei 21 (gyō-ko) no. 13: **133**
- Ōtsu Chihō Saibansho [Ōtsu Dist. Ct.] 1 July 2010, 1342 HANREI TAIMUZU 142 (Residents of Aishō Town v. Mayor of Aishō Town): **113**
- Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 28 April 2009, Heisei 20 (gyō-hi) no. 97, 1300 HANREI TAIMUZU 92 *remanded to* Osaka Kōtō Saibansho [Osaka High Ct.] 23 July 2010, Heisei 21 (gyō-ko) no. 66, 2010 WLJP CA07239002 (Westlaw Japan) (Residents of Amagasaki City v. Mitsubishi jūkō K.K. et al.): **53, 281**
- Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 1 October 2010, Heisei 20 (wa) no. 2, 57(2) SHINKETSUSHŪ 385-394: **60**
- “Dry Ice case” – Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 30 March 2011, Heisei 22 (yo) no. 20125, 2011 WLJP CS03306001 (Westlaw Japan): **80, 136**
- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 13 June 2011, Heisei 21 (chū) no. 6, 2128 HANREI JIHŌ 58-76, appeal pending: **121**
- Tokyo Chihō Saibansho [Tokyo Dist. Ct.] 27 June 2011, Heisei 17 (wa) no. 26475 (Japan v. Kosumo Sekiyu K.K. et al.), appeal pending: **120, 231**
- Yokohama Chihō Saibansho [Yokohama Dist. Ct.] 25 March 2011, Heisei 21 (wa) no. 3484, *aff’d* Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 17 November 2011, Heisei 23 (ne) no. 3494: **103**
- Tokyo Kōtō Saibansho [Tokyo High Ct.] 19 March 2010, Heisei 20 (gyō-ke) no. 25, 56(2) SHINKETSUSHŪ 567, *rev’d* Saikō Saibansho [Sup. Ct.] 20 February 2012, Heisei 22 (gyō-hi) no. 278: **56**
- Osaka Chihō Saibansho [Osaka Dist. Ct.], pending, Heisei 12 (wa) no. 16768 (X et al. on behalf of Sumitomo Denkō K.K. v. Y): **124**

Netherlands

Hof (Court of Appeal) 's-Gravenhage 27 May 2004, KG/RK 2002-979 and 2003-1617 (Marketing Displays International Inc. v. VR Van Raalte Reclame B.V.): **217**

HR (Supreme Court) 25 February 2000, R96/057 (8850) (Eco Swiss China Time Ltd / Benetton International N.V.): **214**

Hof (Court of Appeal) Amsterdam 11 March 2010, 06/00252 (Inspecteur van de Belastingdienst v. X B.V.): **190**

HR (Supreme Court) 12 August 2011, 10/01358 (X B.V. v. Inspecteur van de Belastingdienst): **190**

Spain

S. Juz. Prim. Madrid [Judgment of Court of First Instance Madrid], 1 March 2010 (50/2010): **230**

Sweden

Göta hovrätt [Göta Court of Appeal], T 33-00, 2001-04-27 (SAS v. Luftfartsverket): **211, 230**

Switzerland

Tribunal Fédéral (Federal Supreme Court), 8 March 2006 (Tensacciai S.p.A. v. Freysinet Terra Armata S.r.l.), 132 ARRÊTS DU TRIBUNAL FÉDÉRAL SUISSE (RECUEIL OFFICIEL) [ATF] III 389-400 and 2006(3) REVUE DE L'ARBITRAGE 763-769, note Luca Radicati di Brozolo: **213**

United States

Hanover Shoe, Inc. v. United Shoe Machinery Corp., 392 U.S. 481 (1968): **61, 276**

Hawaii v. Standard Oil Co., 405 U.S. 251 (1972): **45**

Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois, 431 U.S. 720 (1977): **61, 174, 276**

California v. ARC America Corp., 490 U.S. 93 (1989): **61**

Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A., 534 U.S. 506 (2002): **66**

Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007): **66**

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009): **66**