BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ABE, MASAKI, Mobilizing Law Against Local Governments: A Recent Trend in Public Law Litigation in Japan, in Emerging Concepts of Rights in Japanese Law 119-134 (Harry N. Scheiber & Laurent Mayali eds., Robbins Collection Publications 2007).
- ABE, YASUTAKA & SHIRAFUJI, HIROYUKI, JŪMIN SOSHŌ TO GIKAI TO SHUCHŌ [RESIDENTS' LAWSUITS, THE ASSEMBLY AND THE EXECUTIVE] (Chīki kagaku kenkyūkai 2011).
- Adachi, Eiji, Senteitōjisha [Representative Parties], 74 WASEDA LAW REVIEW 467-491 (1999).
- Adriaanse, P.C., Handhaving van EG-recht in situaties van onrechtmatige staatssteun (Kluwer 2006).
- Advisory Panel on Basic Issues Regarding the Anti-Monopoly Act, *Dokusenkinshihō kihon mondai kondankai hōkokusho [Report Issued by the Advisory Panel on Basic Issues Regarding the Anti-Monopoly Act]*, 26 June 2007, http://www8.cao.go.jp/chosei/dokkin/finalreport.html, *translation at* http://www8.cao.go.jp/chosei/dokkin/kaisaijokyo/finalreport/finalreport_en.pdf.
- Allain, Marie-Laure, et al., *The Determination of Optimal Fines in Cartel Cases: The Myth of Underdeterrence*, Cirano Working Paper, March 2011 (updated 8 September 2011), www.cirano.qc.ca/pdf/publication/2011s-34.pdf.
- AMD & Intel, Settlement Agreement Between Advanced Micro Devices Inc. and Intel Corporation (11 November 2009).
- Antitrust Modernization Commission, *Antitrust Modernization Commission Report and Recommendations*, http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/amc/report_recommendation/amc final report.pdf.
- Atsuya, Jōji et al. eds., Jōkai dokusenkinshihō [Article-by-article Commentary on the Antimonopoly Act] (Kōbundō, 1997).
- Baker, Donald I., Revisiting History What Have We Learned About Private Antitrust Enforcement That We Would Recommend to Others?, 16 LOYOLA CONSUMER LAW REVIEW 379 (2004).
- Baker, Donald I., The EU Green Paper on Private Damage Actions An Ambitious Response to a Very Difficult Set of Practical and Philosophic Issues, 2005 Competition Law Journal 239-249 (2005).
- Baker, Jonathan B., *Private Information and the Deterrent Effect of Antitrust Damages Remedies*, 4 Journal of Law, Economics & Organization 385-408 (1988).
- Baker, Jonathan B., *The Case for Antitrust Enforcement*, 17 JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC PERPSECTIVES 27-50 (2003).

- Barone, Giovanni & Amore, Roberto *Italy*, *in* The International Handbook on Private Enforcement of Competition Law 346-367 (Albert A. Foer & Jonathan W. Cuneo eds., Edward Elgar Pub. 2010).
- Basedow, Jürgen, ed., Private Enforcement of EC Competition Law (Kluwer Law International 2007).
- Basedow, Jürgen, Terhechte, Jörg Philipp & Tichý, Luboš, eds., Private Enforcement of Competition Law (Nomos 2011).
- Basedow, Jürgen, Francq, Stéphanie & Idot, Laurence, International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination (Hart Pub. 2012).
- Baum, Harald & Bälz, Moritz, eds., Handbuch Japanisches Handels- und Wirtschaftsrecht (Carl Heymanns Verlag 2011).
- Becker, Gary S., *Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach*, 76 JOURNAL OF POLITICAL ECONOMY 169-217 (1968).
- Becker, Gary S. & Stigler, George J., Law Enforcement, Malfeasance and Compensation of Enforcers, 3 The Journal of Legal Studies 1-18 (1974).
- BEEMAN, MICHAEL L., PUBLIC POLICY AND ECONOMIC COMPETITION IN JAPAN: CHANGE AND CONTINUITY IN ANTIMONOPOLY POLICY, 1973-1995 (Routledge 2002).
- Benacchio, Gian Antonio & Carpagnano, Michele, L'azione di risarcimento del danno per violazione delle regole comunitarie sulla concorrenza [Damages Actions for Violations of EU Antitrust Law] (Università di Trento, 2007).
- Berrisch, Georg, et al., *E.U. Competition and Private Actions for Damages*, 24 Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business 585-600 (2004).
- Besanko, D. & Spulber, D. F., *Antitrust Enforcement under Asymmetric Information*, 99 The Economic Journal 408-425 (1989).
- Besanko, D. & Spulber, D. F., *Are Treble Damages Neutral? Sequential Equilibrium and Private Antitrust Enforcement*, 80 American Economic Review 870-887 (1990).
- Bizjak, John M. & Coles, Jeffrey L., *The Effect of Private Antitrust Litigation on the Stock-Market Valuation of the Firm*, 85 The American Economic Review 436-461 (1995).
- Blair, Roger D. & Kaserman, David L., Antitrust Economics (2nd ed., Oxford University Press 2008).
- Bobek, Michal, *Why There Is No Principle of "Procedural Autonomy" of the Member States, in* The European Court of Justice and the Autonomy of Member States (Hans-W. Micklitz & Bruno De Witte eds., Intersentia 2012).
- Böge, Ulf & Ost, Konrad, *Up and Running or Is It? Private Enforcement The Situation in Germany and Policy Perspectives*, 27 European Competition Law Review 197-205 (2006).
- Bolatoglu, Hilmi, *Turkey, in* The International Handbook on Private Enforcement of Competition Law 414-432 (Albert A. Foer & Jonathan W. Cuneo eds., Edward Elgar Pub. 2010).
- Bourjade, Sylvain, et al., *Private Antitrust Enforcement in the Presence of Pre-trial Bargaining*, 52 The Journal of Industrial Economics 372-409 (2009).
- Brealey, Mark & Green, Nicholas, Competition Litigation: UK Practice and Procedure (Oxford University Press 2010).

- Breit, William & Elzinga, Kenneth G., *Antitrust Enforcement and Economic Efficiency:* The Uneasy Case for Treble Damages, 17 JOURNAL OF LAW & ECONOMICS 329-356 (1974).
- Breit, William & Elzinga, Kenneth G., *Private Antitrust Enforcement: The New Learning*, 28 JOURNAL OF LAW & ECONOMICS 405-443 (1985).
- Bryant, Peter G. & Eckard, Woodrow E., *Price Fixing: The Probability of Getting Caught*, 73 The Review of Economics and Statistics 531-536 (1991).
- Bronsteen, John, *Class Action Settlements: An Opt-in Proposal*, University of Illinois Law Review 903-928 (2005).
- Bundeskartellamt, Bundeskartellamt Imposes Multi-million Euro Fines Against Manufacturers of Fire-fighting Vehicles (10 February 2011).
- Buxbaum, Hannah L., *The Private Attorney General in a Global Age: Public Interests in Private International Antitrust Litigation*, 26 Yale Journal of International Law 219-263 (2001).
- Buxbaum, Hannah L., German Legal Culture and the Globalization of Competition Law: A Historical Perspective on the Expansion of Private Antitrust Enforcement, 23 Berkeley Journal of International Law 474-495 (2005).
- Buxbaum, Hannah L., *Private Enforcement of Competition Law in the United States Of Optimal Deterrence and Social Costs*, *in* Private Enforcement of EC Competition Law 41-60 (Jürgen Basedow ed., Kluwer Law International 2007).
- Calkins, Stephen, *An Enforcement Official's Reflections on Antitrust Class Actions*, 39 ARIZONA LAW REVIEW 413-451 (1997).
- Carpagnano, Michele, *Competition Law Litigation: The Italian Perspective (1990-2010)*, in Private Enforcement of Competition Law 81-100 (Luis Antonio Velasco San Pedro et al. eds., Lex Nova, 2011).
- Cauffman, Caroline, *The Interaction of Leniency Programmes and Actions for Damages*, 7 Competition Law Review 181-220 (2011).
- Chemtob, Stuart M., *Antitrust Deterrence in the United States and Japan, in* Competition Policy in the Global Trading System 195-209 (Clifford A. Jones & Mitsuo Matsushita eds., Kluwer Law International 2002).
- Chieu, Tiffany, Class Actions in the European Union?: Importing Lessons Learned from the United States' Experience into European Community Competition Law, 18 Cardozo Journal of International and Comparative Law 123-157 (2010).
- Claims Funding International plc, Press Release (30 September 2010) at http://www.claimsfunding.eu/fileadmin/Documents/Media Release for Website.pdf
- Coffee, John C., *Understanding the Plaintiff's Attorney: The Implications of Economic Theory for Private Enforcement of Law Through Class and Derivative Actions*, 86 COLUMBIA LAW REVIEW 669-727 (1986).
- Coffee, John C., *The Regulation of Entrepreneurial Litigation: Balancing Fairness and Efficiency in the Large Class Action*, 54 University of Chicago Law Review 877-937 (1987).
- Coffee, John C., *Litigation Governance: Taking Accountability Seriously*, 110 COLUMBIA LAW REVIEW 288-351 (2010).
- Coleman, Jules L., *The Grounds of Welfare*, 112 YALE LAW JOURNAL 1511-1543 (2003) (book review).

- Combe, Emmanuel & Monnier, Constance, Les amendes contre les cartels: La Commission européenne en fait-elle trop? [Fines Imposed on Cartels: Is the European Commission Overdoing It?], 4 Concurrences 41-50 (2009).
- Connor, John M., *Price-fixing Overcharges: Legal and Economic Evidence, in* Research in Law and Economics: A Journal of Policy 59-153 (Richard O. Zerbe Jr. & John B. Kirkwood eds., Elsevier 2007).
- COOTER, ROBERT & ULEN, THOMAS, LAW & ECONOMICS (5th ed., Pearson/Addison Wesley 2008).
- Council of Europe European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice, European Judicial Systems: Edition 2010 (data 2008): Efficiency and Quality of Justice (Council of Europe Pub. 2010).
- Coutinho de Abreu, Jorge M., *Private Enforcement of Competition Law in Portugal*, in Private Enforcement of Competition Law 101-113 (Luis Antonio Velasco San Pedro et al. eds., Lex Nova, 2011).
- Crane, Daniel, *Optimizing Private Antitrust Enforcement*, 63 VANDERBILT LAW REVIEW 675-723 (2010).
- Crane, Daniel A., Enforcing Competition Law with Multiple Agencies and Private Enforcers, 10 Shinsedai Hōseisakugaku Kenkyū [Hokkaido Journal of New Global Law and Policy] 45-70 (2011).
- Crane, Daniel A., The Institutional Structure of Antitrust Enforcement (Oxford University Press 2011)
- Creus, Antonio, *The Importance of Interim Measures in Civil Procedure Regarding Competition Law Matters, in* Private Enforcement of Competition Law 181-203 (Luis Antonio Velasco San Pedro et al. eds., Lex Nova, 2011).
- Cumming, George, et al., Civil Procedure Used for Enforcement of EC Competition Law by the English, French, and German Civil Courts (Kluwer Law International 2007).
- Cuneo, Jonathan W., *Differing Traditions*, in The International Handbook on Private Enforcement of Competition Law (Albert A. Foer & Jonathan W. Cuneo eds., Edward Elgar Pub. 2010).
- Daitō, Yasuo, *Dokusenkinshihō ihan kōi ni tai suru songai baishō seikyū minpō 709 jō wo chūshin to suru wakugumi he no tenkan [Antitrust Damages Actions Towards a System with Article 709 of the Civil Code at the Center]* (May 2012) (unpublished Master's thesis, Hitotsubashi University, on file with author).
- Danov, Mihail Jurisdiction and Judgments in Relation to EU Competition Law Claims (Hart Pub. 2011).
- Davis, Joseph W. S., et al., Dispute Resolution in Japan (Kluwer Law International 1996).
- Delatre, Jocelyn G., Beyond the White Paper: Rethinking the Commission's Proposal on Private Antitrust Litigation, 8 Competition Law Review 29-48 (2011).
- Dillickrath, Thomas J., et al., *Trends in Private Federal Antitrust Litigation, in Global Competition Review: The Antitrust Review of the Americas* 2010, at 1-4 (2009).
- Dorée, André G., Collusion in the Dutch Construction Industry: An Industrial Organization Perspective, 32 Building Research & Information 146-156 (2004).

- Easterbrook, Frank H., *Discovery as Abuse*, 69 Boston University Law Review 635 (1989).
- EHLERMANN, CLAUS-DIETER & ATANASIU, ISABELA, EDS., EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW ANNUAL 2001: EFFECTIVE PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT OF EC ANTITRUST LAW (Hart Pub. 2003).
- ELZINGA, KENNETH G. & BREIT, WILLIAM, THE ANTITRUST PENALTIES: A STUDY IN LAW AND ECONOMICS (Yale University Press 1976).
- EPP, CHARLES R., THE RIGHTS REVOLUTION: LAWYERS, ACTIVISTS, AND SUPREME COURTS IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE (University of Chicago Press 1998).
- Epstein, Richard A., *The Coordination of Public and Private Antitrust Actions*, in Private Remedies (OECD ed., 2008).
- Ernst, Kristina & Juentgen, David, *Doing Nothing Is Not an Option: What the Management Needs to Know When Their Company Falls Victim to a Cartel*, 4(1) GLOBAL COMPETITION LITIGATION REVIEW 5-12 (2011).
- European Commission, Commission White Paper on Modernisation of the Rules Implementing Articles 85 and 86 of the EC Treaty, COM (1999) 101 final (April 1999).
- European Commission, Commission Notice on the Co-operation Between the Commission and the Courts of the EU Member States in the Application of Articles 81 and 82 EC, 2004 O.J. (C 101) 54-64.
- European Commission, Commission Notice on the Handling of Complaints by the Commission Under Articles 81 and 82 of the EC Treaty, 2004 O.J. (C 101) 65-77.
- European Commission, Commission Green Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules, COM (2005) 672 final (19 December 2005).
- European Commission, Commission Staff Working Paper Accompanying the Green Paper on "Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules", COM (2005) 672 final (19 December 2005).
- European Commission, Commission Notice on Immunity from Fines and Reduction of Fines in Cartel Cases, 2006 O.J. (C 298) 17-22.
- European Commission, Commission White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules, COM (2008) 165 final (2 April 2008).
- European Commission, Commission Staff Working Paper Accompanying the White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules, SEC (2008) 404 (2 April 2008).
- European Commission, *Press Release Commission Goes to Court Over Damages Suffered From Elevators Cartel* (24 June 2008).
- European Commission, *Commission Green Paper on Consumer Collective Redress*, COM (2008) 794 final (27 November 2008).
- European Commission, Commission Staff Working Paper Accompanying the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and Council Report on the Functioning of Regulation 1/2003, COM (2009) 206 final (29 april 2009).
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION, ENFORCEMENT OF EU STATE AID LAW BY NATIONAL COURTS (Publications Office of the European Union, 2010).

- European Commission, Hearing Officers Guidance on Procedures of the Hearing Officers in Proceedings Relating to Articles 101 and 102 TFEU (ex-articles 81 and 82 EC) (6 January 2010).
- European Commission, Guidelines on Vertical Restraints, 2010 O.J. (C 130) 1-46.
- European Commission, Commission Staff Working Document Public Consultation: Towards a Coherent European Approach to Collective Redress, SEC (2011) 173 final (4 February 2011).
- European Commission, *Report on Competition Policy 2010*, SEC (2011) 690 final (10 June 2011).
- European Commission, Draft Guidance Paper Quantifying Harm in Actions for Damages Based on Breaches of Article 101 or 102 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (June 2011).
- European Commission, Antitrust Manual of Procedures (2012), *at* www.ec.europea. eu/competition.
- European Competition Network, Resolution of the Meeting of Heads of the European Competition Authorities of 23 May 2012 Protection of Leniency Material in the Context of Civil Damages Actions (23 May 2012).
- European Parliament, Resolution of 26 March 2009 on the White Paper on Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules (2008/2154(INI)).
- Ezaki, Shigeyoshi & Uemura, Koya, *Shareholder Actions in Antitrust Cases in Japan*, 1 Global Competition Litigation Review 117-123 (2008).
- Ezrachi, Ariel, From Courage v Crehan to the White Paper The Changing Landscape of European Private Enforcement and the Possible Implications for Article 82 EC Litigation, in Abuse of Dominant Position: New Interpretation, New Enforcement Mechanisms? (Mark-Oliver Mackenrodt et al. eds., Springer 2008).
- Fallon, Marc & Francq, Stéphanie, *Private Enforcement of Antitrust Provisions and the Rome I Regulation*, *in* International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination 63-90 (Jürgen Basedow, Stéphanie Francq & Laurence Idot eds., Hart Pub. 2012).
- Fallon, Marc, *The Law Applicable to Specific Torts in Europe, in* Japanese and European Private International Law in Comparative Perspective (Jürgen Basedow, Harald Baum & Yūko Nishitani eds., Mohr Siebeck 2008).
- FARHANG, SEAN, THE LITIGATION STATE: PUBLIC REGULATION AND PRIVATE LAWSUITS IN THE U.S. (Princeton University Press 2010).
- First, Harry, Antitrust Enforcement in Japan, 64 Antitrust Law Journal 137-182 (1995).
- First, Harry, *Antitrust in Japan: The Original Intent*, 9 Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal 1-71 (2000).
- First, Harry & Shiraishi, Tadashi, *Concentrated Power: The Paradox of Antitrust in Japan*, *in* Law in Japan: A Turning Point 521-554 (Daniel H. Foote ed., University of Washington Press 2007).
- Fitchen, Jonathan, Choice of Law in International Claims Based on Restrictions of Competition: Article 6(3) of the Rome II Regulation, 5 JOURNAL OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW 337-370 (2009).

- Fitzpatrick, Brian T., An Empirical Study of Class Action Settlements and Their Fee Awards, 7 Journal of Empirical Legal Studies 811-846 (2010).
- FOER, ALBERT A. & CUNEO, JONATHAN W., Eds., THE INTERNATIONAL HANDBOOK ON PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT OF COMPETITION LAW (Edward Elgar Publishing 2010).
- FOOTE, DANIEL H., ED., LAW IN JAPAN: A TURNING POINT (University of Washington Press 2007).
- Francq, Stéphanie & Wurmnest, Wolfgang, *International Antitrust Claims under the Rome II Regulation*, *in* International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination 91-129 (Jürgen Basedow, Stéphanie Francq & Laurence Idot eds., Hart Pub. 2012).
- Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, Class Actions and Third Party Funding of Litigation An Analysis Across Europe (Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer 2007).
- Freyer, Tony Allan, Antitrust and Global Capitalism, 1930-2004 (Cambridge University Press 2006).
- Fujita, Minoru, Kōseitorihikiiinkai no shinpan no jiken kiroku ni tai suru jōhōkōkaihō dai 3 jō dokusenkinshihō dai 69 jō minjisoshōhō dai 223 jō ni yoru kaiji seikyū to jigyōsha no himitsu no hogo [Requests for Access to the File of the Japan Fair Trade Commission Pursuant to Art. 3 of the Information Disclosure Act, Art. 69 of the Antimonopoly Act, and Art. 223 of the Code of Civil Procedure with a Particular Focus on the Protection of Business Secrets], 29 Yamagata daigaku hōsei ronsō 81-124 (2004).
- Fry, James D., Struggling to Teethe: Japan's Antitrust Enforcement Regime, 825 Law & Policy in International Business 825-857 (2001).
- Gavil, Andrew I., Antitrust Remedy Wars Episode I: Illinois Brick from Inside the Supreme Court, 79 St. John's Law Review 553-624 (2005).
- Gavil, Andrew I., *Thinking Outside the Illinois Brick Box: A Proposal for Reform*, 76 Antitrust Law Journal 167-203 (2009).
- Gehring, Andrew S., *The Power of the Purchaser: The Effect of Indirect Purchaser Damages Suits on Deterring Antitrust Violations*, 5 New York University Journal of Law & Liberty 208 (2010).
- Gerber, David J., Constitutionalizing the Economy: German Neo-liberalism, Competition Law and the "New" Europe, 42 American Journal of Comparative Law 25 (1994).
- Gerber, David J., Law and Competition in Twentieth Century Europe: Protecting Prometheus (Oxford University Press 2001).
- Gerber, David J., *Private Enforcement of Competition Law: A Comparative Perspective*, *in* The Enforcement of Competition Law in Europe 431-452 (Andreas Heinemann & Thomas M. J. Möllers eds., Cambridge University Press 2007).
- Gerber, David J., Global Competition: Law, Markets and Globalization (Oxford University Press 2010).
- Gilles, Myriam & Friedman, Bary B., Exploding the Class Action Agency Costs Myth: The Social Utility of Entrepreneurial Lawyers, 155 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 103-164 (2006).

- Ginsburg, Douglas H., Comparing Antitrust Enforcement in the United States and Europe, 1 Journal of Competition Law and Economics 427-439 (2005).
- Ginsburg, Tom & Hoetker, Glenn, *The Unreluctant Litigant? An Empirical Analysis of Japan's Turn to Litigation*, 35 JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES 31-59 (2006).
- Glader, Marcus & Alstergren, Peter, *Sweden*, *in* The International Handbook on Private Enforcement of Competition Law 395-413 (Albert A. Foer & Jonathan W. Cuneo eds., Edward Elgar Pub. 2010).
- Godek, Paul E., Does the Tail Wag the Dog? Sixty Years of Government and Private Antitrust in the Federal Courts, 9 The Antitrust Source 1-4 (2009).
- Golub, Alla, Detre, Joshua & Connor, John M., *The Probability of Price Fixing: Have Stronger Antitrust Sanctions Deterred?*, available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1188515 (2005).
- Goodman, Carl F., *The Somewhat Less Reluctant Litigant: Japan's Changing View Towards Civil Litigation*, 32 Law & Policy in International Business 769-810 (2001).
- Goodman, Carl F., The Rule of Law in Japan: A Comparative Analysis (Kluwer Law International 2003).
- Goodman, Carl F., *Japan's New Civil Procedure Code: Has it Fostered a Rule of Law Dispute Resolution Mechanism*?, 29 Brooklyn Journal of International Law 511 (2004).
- Goodrich, Mark, *Japanese Arbitration Much Work Done; Much Still To Do*, 27 JCAA Newsletter 1-3 (2012).
- Gotts, Ilene Knable, *United States*, in The Private Competition Enforcement Review (Ilene Knable Gotts ed., Law Business Research 2009).
- GLOBAL LEGAL GROUP, THE INTERNATIONAL COMPARATIVE LEGAL GUIDE TO: COMPETITION LITIGATION 2010 (Global Legal Group 2010).
- Haley, John O., *The Myth of the Reluctant Litigant*, 4 JOURNAL OF JAPANESE STUDIES 359-389 (1978).
- HALEY, JOHN OWEN, AUTHORITY WITHOUT POWER: LAW AND THE JAPANESE PARADOX (Oxford University Press 1991).
- Haley, John O., Competition and Trade Policy: Antitrust Enforcement: Do Differences Matter?, 4 Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal 303-323 (1995).
- HALEY, JOHN OWEN, ANTITRUST IN GERMANY AND JAPAN: THE FIRST FIFTY YEARS, 1947-1998 (University of Washington Press 2001).
- Hamabe, Yoichiro, *Changing Antimonopoly Policy in the Japanese Legal System An International Perspective*, 28 International Lawyer 903-926 (1994).
- Handler, Milton, *The Shift from Substantive to Procedural Innovations in Antitrust Suits The Twenty-Third Annual Antitrust Review*, 71 Columbia Law Review 9 (1971).
- HANDLER, MILTON, PITOFSKY ROBERT, GOLDSCHMID, HARVEY J. & WOOD DIANE P., TRADE REGULATION: CASES AND MATERIALS (4th ed., Foundation Press 1997).
- Harris, H. Stephen, Competition Law and Patent Protection in Japan: A Half-Century Progress, a New Millenium of Challenges, 16 COLUMBIA JOURNAL OF ASIAN LAW 71-139 (2002).

- Hasebe, Yukiko, *Songai no shōmei Tsuruoka tōyu jiken [Proof of Damage The Tsuruoka Kerosene Case], in* Hanrei kara manabu minji jijitsu nintei [Learning From Case Law Fact-finding in Civil Litigation] 36-40 (Makoto Itō & Shintarō Katō eds., Yūhikaku 2006).
- Hausfeld LLP, Press Release: Hausfeld Backs Dutch Elevator Cartel Claim (3 May 2011).
- Heinemann, Andreas & Möllers, Thomas M.J., The Enforcement of Competition Law in Europe (Cambridge University Press 2007).
- HENDERSON, DAN FENNO, FOREIGN ENTERPRISE IN JAPAN: LAWS AND POLICIES (University of North Carolina Press 1973).
- HEATH, CHRISTOPHER, THE SYSTEM OF UNFAIR COMPETITION PREVENTION IN JAPAN (Kluwer Law International 2001).
- Heath, Christopher, *Three Recent Decisions on Unfair Competition*, 13(25) JOURNAL OF JAPANESE LAW 233-255 (2008).
- Heath, Christopher, Case No. 60 Antitrust Law Unfair Trade Practices Resale Price Maintenance Private Enforcement, in Business Law in Japan Cases and Comments 663-676 (Moritz Bälz et al. eds., Kluwer Law International 2012).
- HENSLER, DEBORAH R. & INSTITUTE FOR CIVIL JUSTICE (U.S.), CLASS ACTION DILEMMAS: PURSUING PUBLIC GOALS FOR PRIVATE GAIN (Rand 2000).
- HIGASHIDE, KŌICHI ED., DOKKINHŌ IHAN KŌI TO MINJITEKI KYŪSAISEIDO "DOKUSENKINSHIHŌ IHAN KŌI NI KAKARU MINJITEKI KYŪSAISEIDO KENKYŪKAI" HŌKOKU [ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS AND CIVIL REMEDIES THE REPORT OF THE "RESEARCH GROUP ON THE CIVIL REMEDY SYSTEM FOR ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS"], BESSATSU [SPECIAL ISSUE] NBL 55 (Shōji Hōmu 2000).
- Higashide, Kōichi, ed., Dokkinhō ihan to minji soshō sashitome seikyū songai baishō seido [Antitrust Violations and Civil Litigation Injunctions and Damages Actions] (Shōji Hōmu 2001).
- Hirabayashi, Hidekatsu, *Dokusenkinshihō dai 1 jō no kisō katei to sono haikei oyobi igi hiseiō shakai ni okeru shijōkeizai to minshushugi no hō no seiritsu [The Drafting History of Article 1 of the Antimonopoly Act and Its Background and Significance The Introduction of a Law on Market Economy and Democracy in a non-Western Society]*, Tsukuba Rō Jānaru 39-77 (March 2007).
- HODGES, CHRISTOPHER, THE REFORM OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTIONS IN EUROPEAN LEGAL SYSTEMS: A NEW FRAMEWORK FOR COLLECTIVE REDRESS IN EUROPE (Hart Pub. 2008).
- Hodges, Christopher, *From Class Actions to Collective Redress*, 28 CIVIL JUSTICE QUARTERLY 41-66 (2009).
- Hodges, Christopher, *Collective Redress in Europe: The New Model*, 7 CIVIL JUSTICE OUARTERLY 370 (2010).
- Holzmueller, Tobias & von Koeckritz, Christian, *Private Enforcement of Competition Law Under the Rome II Regulation*, 3(3) GLOBAL COMPETITION LAW REVIEW 91-97 (2010).
- Hughes, Paul, *The Enforcement of Private Actions for Breaches of EC Competition Law The Role of the Shareholder under English Law*, 3 Competition Law Review 73-95 (2006).

- Idot, Laurence, *Private Enforcement of Competition Law Recommendations Flowing from the French Experience*, in Private Enforcement of EC Competition Law (Jürgen Basedow ed., Kluwer Law International 2007).
- Idot, Laurence, *The Role of Arbitration in Competition Disputes*, in The Reform of EC Competition Law: New Challenges 75-94 (Ioannis Kokkoris & Ioannis Lianos eds., Wolters Kluwer 2010).
- IMAMURA, SHIGEKAZU, DOKUSENKINSHIHŌ [THE ANTIMONOPOLY ACT] (1961).
- Inoue, Akira, *The Great Step Forward: The Reform to the Japanese Antimonopoly Act*, The Singapore Law Gazette 36-43 (2006).
- Inoue, Akira, *The Recent Amendment of the Japanese Antimonopoly Law*, Japan Business Law Review 4-14 (2006).
- INOUE, AKIRA, JAPANESE ANTITRUST LAW MANUAL: LAW, CASES AND INTERPRETATION OF THE JAPANESE ANTIMONOPOLY ACT (Kluwer Law International 2007).
- Inoue, Moto, Jūmin soshō no jōzu na katsuyōhō: kansa seikyū kara soshō made no riron to jitsumu [Using Residents' Lawsuits Effectively: From Audit Request to Litigation, Theory and Practice] (Minjihō Kenkyūkai 2009).
- Ishida, Hideto & Fujiyama, Duke, *Japan*, *in* The Private Competition Enforcement Review 129-137 (Ilene Knable Gotts ed., Law Business Research, 2009).
- Ishida, Hideto, et al., *Private Antitrust Litigation Japan*, in Private Antitrust Litigation in 35 Jurisdictions Worldwide 2009 (Samantha Mobley ed., 2009).
- Issacharoff, Samuel & Miller, Geoffrey P., *Will Aggregate Litigation Come to Europe?*, 62 VANDERBILT LAW REVIEW 179-210 (2009).
- Ітō, Макото, Міллі soshōhō [Civil Procedure] (3ed., Yūhikaku 2005).
- IYORI, HIROSHI & UESUGI, AKINORI, THE ANTIMONOPOLY LAWS AND POLICIES OF JAPAN (Federal Legal Publications 1994).
- Izumisawa, Mamoru, Dokusenkinshihō ihan kōi to songaibaishō seikyū soshō kinnen no nyūsatsu dangō jirei wo gaikan shite [Violations of the Antimonopoly Act and Damages Actions An Overiew of Recent Case Law Concerning Bidrigging], 16 Gendai Hōgaku 3-37 (2008).
- Jacob, Herbert, et al., Courts, Law, and Politics in Comparative Perspective (Yale University Press 1996).
- Jacoby, Mary, London Becomes Lab for U.S.-style Lawsuits, The Wall Street Journal Europe, 16 January 2007.
- Jaecks, Jörg & Säcker, Franz Jürgen, *Article 81(2) EC & Civil Law Effects, in* Competition Law: European Community Practice and Procedure 675-753 (Günther Hirsch, Frank Montag & Franz Jürgen Säcker eds., Sweet & Maxwell, 2008).
- Japan Fair Trade Commission, *Fukōseina torihiki hōhō [Designation of Unfair Trade Practices]* (18 June 1982, as amended).
- Japan Fair Trade Commission, *Dokusenkinshihō ihan kōi ni kakaru songaibaishō seikyū soshō ni kansuru shiryō no teikyō ni tsuite [Concerning the Provision of Documents Relating to Damages Actions Concerning Violations of the Antimonopoly Act]* (15 May 1991, as amended in 2005 and 2009), http://www.jftc.go.jp/dk/4/siryouteikyo.pdf.

- Japan Fair Trade Commission, *Dokusen kinshihōsei (an) no kangaekata [Approach to the Amendment of the Antimonopoly Act]* (19 May 2004), http://www.jftc.go.jp/kaiseikeii/sisyou3.pdf, *also reproduced in* Sadaaki Suwazono, Heisei 17 Nen kaisei dokusenkinshihō [The Antimonopoly Act After the 2005 Amendment] 227 (Shōjihōmu 2005).
- Japan Fair Trade Commission, Nyūsatsu dangō no bōshi ni mukete dokusenkinshihō no shikkō to hacchūshagawa no torikumi [Towards the Elimination of Bid-rigging The Enforcement of the Antimonopoly Act and Measures by the Parties Issuing the Bids] (Kōseitorihiki iinkai jimu sōkyoku 2006).
- Japan Fair Trade Commission, Dantai soshō seido ni kansuru kenkyūkai [Study Group on Introduction of the Group Litigation System], Report of the Study Group on Introduction of the Group Litigation System] (12 July 2007).
- Japan Fair Trade Commission, Guidelines for the Use of Intellectual Property Under the Antimonopoly Act (2007).
- Japan Fair Trade Commission, *Haijogata shiteki dokusen ni kakaru dokusenkinshihōjō* no shishin [The Guidelines for Exclusionary Private Monopolization Under the Antimonopoly Act] (28 October 2009).
- Japan Fair Trade Comission, Nyūsatsu dangō no bōshi ni mukete dokusenkinshihō to nyūsatsu dangō nado kanyo kōi bōshihō [Towards the Elimination of Bidrigging The Antimonopoly Act and the Laws Against Bid-Rigging Activities] (Kōseitorihiki iinkai jimu sōkyoku 2010).
- Jolls, Christine, et al., *A Behavioral Approach to Law and Economics*, 50 Stanford Law Review 1471-1550 (1998).
- Jones, Clifford A., Private Enforcement of Antitrust Law in the EU, UK and USA (Oxford University Press 1999).
- Jones, Clifford A., *Trilateralism in Private Antitrust Enforcement: Japan, the USA, and the European Union*, in Competition Policy in the Global Trading System 211-224 (Clifford A. Jones & Mitsuo Matsushita eds., Kluwer Law International 2002).
- JONES, CLIFFORD A. & MATSUSHITA, MITSUO, COMPETITION POLICY IN THE GLOBAL TRADING SYSTEM (Kluwer Law International 2002).
- Jones, Clifford A., *Private Antitrust Enforcement in Europe: A Policy Analysis and Reality Check*, 27 World Competition Law & Economics Review 13 (2004).
- Jones, Clifford A., *Exporting Antitrust Courtrooms to the World: Private Enforcement in a Global Market*, 16 LOYOLA CONSUMER LAW REVIEW 409-430 (2004).
- Kadomatsu, Narufumi, *Judicial Governance Through Resolution of Legal Disputes?* A Japanese Perspective, 4 National Taiwan University Law Review 141-162 (2009).
- Kamemoto, Kazuhiko, Kōkyō kōji to nyūsatsu & keiyaku no tekiseika nyūsatsu dangō no haijo to bōshi wo mezashite [Public Works and Bidding Ensuring Fairness for Contracts], 632 Referensu 14 (September 2003).
- Kanazawa, Yoshio, *The Regulation of Corporate Enterprise: The Law of Unfair Competition and the Control of Monopoly Power*, in Law in Japan: The Legal Order in a Changing Society 480-506 (Arthur Taylor Von Mehren ed., Harvard University Press 1963).

- Kaplow, Louis & Shavell, Steven, Fairness Versus Welfare (Harvard University Press 2002).
- Kawahama, Noboru, Dai 3jō Shiteki dokusen futōna torihiki seigen no kinshi [Article 3 The Prohibition of Private Monopolization and Unreasonable Restraints of Trade], in Chūshaku dokusen kinshihō [Commentary on the Antimonopoly Law of Japan] 108 (Akira Negishi ed., Yūhikaku 2009).
- Kawahama, Noboru, *Dai 2jō5 Teigi Shiteki dokusen [Article 2(5) Definition Private Monopolization]*, in Chūshaku dokusen kinshihō [Commentary on the Antimonopoly Law of Japan] 25-73 (Akira Negishi ed., Yūhikaku 2009)
- Kawai, Kōzo & Shimada, Madoka, *Japan*, *in* The Private Competition Enforcement Review 157-169 (Ilene Knable Gotts ed., 3d ed., Law Business Research 2010).
- Kawai, Kōzo & Shimada, Madoka, *Japan*, *in* The Private Competition Enforcement Review 189-200 (Ilene Knable Gotts ed., 4th ed., Law Business Research 2011).
- Keske, Sonja E., Group Litigation in European Competition Law (Intersentia 2010).
- Klonoff, Robert H., Antitrust Class Actions: Chaos in the Courts, 11 Stanford Journal of Law, Business & Finance 1-26 (2005).
- Koch, Harald, *Non-class Group Litigation Under EU and German Law*, 11 Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law 355-367 (2001).
- Kojō, Makoto, Kōtorii enfōsumento to shiso [The Antimonopoly Enforcement by Japanese FTC and Private Suits], in Dokusenkinshihō no enfōsumento nihon keizaihō gakkai nenpō dai 22 gō [The Antimonopoly Enforcement The 22nd Annual of the Japan Association of Economic Law] (Nihon keizaihō gakkai [The Japan Association of Economic Law] ed., Yūhikaku 2001).
- Kokkoris, Ioannis & Lianos, Ioannis, eds., The Reform of EC Competition Law: New Challenges (Wolters Kluwer 2010).
- Komninos, Assimakis, *Public and Private Enforcement in Europe: Complement? Overlap?*, 3 Competition Law Review 2-26 (2006).
- KOMNINOS, ASSIMAKIS P., EC PRIVATE ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT: DECENTRALISED APPLICATION OF EC COMPETITION LAW BY NATIONAL COURTS (Hart Pub. 2008).
- Komninos, Assimakis P., *Arbitration and EU Competition Law, in* International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination 191-222 (Jürgen Basedow, Stéphanie Francq & Laurence Idot eds., Hart Pub. 2012).
- Komninos, Assimakis P., *Private Enforcement: An Overview of EU and National Case Law*, E-Competitions, no. 44442, www.concurrences.com.
- Komninos, Assimakis P., Relationship Between Public and Private Enforcement: Quod Dei Deo, quod Caesaris Caesari, in European Competition Law Annual 2011: Integrating Public and Private Enforcement of Competition Law Implications for Courts and Agencies (Philip Lowe & Mel Marquis eds., Hart Publishing, forthcoming in 2014).
- Kozuka, Sōichirō, *The Enforcement of the Competition Law in Japan: The Rise of Private Initiatives by Litigious Reformers*, in Who Judges Japan?: Popular Participation in the Japanese Legal Process (Leon Wolff, Luke Nottage & Kent Anderson eds., Edward Elgar, forthcoming 2013).
- Kurita, Makoto, Effectiveness and Transparency of Competition Law Enforcement Causes and Consequences of a Perception Gap Between Home and Abroad on

- the Anti-monopoly Act Enforcement in Japan, 3 Washington University Global Legal Studies Law Review 387-404 (2004).
- Kusunoki, Shigeki, *Japan's Government Procurement Regimes for Public Works: A Comparative Introduction*, 32 Brooklyn Journal of International Law 523-552 (2007).
- Lande, Robert H., *Are Antitrust "Treble" Damages Really Single Damages?*, 54 Ohio State Law Journal 115-174 (1993).
- Lande, Robert H., New Options for State Indirect Purchaser Legislation: Protecting the Real Victims of Antitrust Violations, 61 Alabama Law Review 447-500 (2010).
- Lande, Robert H. & Davis, Joshua P., *Benefits from Private Antitrust Enforcement: An Analysis of Forty Cases*, 42 University of San Francisco Law Review 879-918 (2008).
- Landes, W.M., *Optimal Sanctions for Antitrust Violations*, 46 University of Chicago Law Review 602-678 (1983).
- Lao, Marina, Jurisdictional Reach of the U.S. Antitrust Laws: Yokosuka and Yokota, and "Footnote 159" Scenarios, 46 Rutgers Law Review 821-871 (1994).
- La Porta, Rafael, Lopez-de-Silanes, Florencio & Shleifer, Andrei, *The Economic Consequences of Legal Origins*, 46 Journal of Economic Literature 285-332 (2008)
- L'Ecluse, Peter, *De privaatrechtelijke afdwinging van het mededingingsrecht [Private Enforcement of Competition Law]*, in Actualia Vermogensrecht 631-643 (B. Tilleman & A. Verbeke eds., Die Keure 2005).
- Lefèvre, Françoise, Les actions en responsabilité pour infraction au droit de la concurrence, 2009 (2) Revue de la Concurrence Belge 4-23.
- Lefèvre, Françoise & Ysewyn, Johan, *Belgium*, *in* The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Competition Litigation 2010 (Arundel McDougall & James Levy ed., Global Legal Group 2010).
- Lefèvre, Françoise & Taton, Xavier, *Belgium*, *in* The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Competition Litigation 2012 (Arundel McDougall & James Levy eds., Global Legal Group 2011).
- Llewellyn, Paul & Barker, Stephen, *Class Actions in the EU A Jeremiad?*, in The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Product Liability 2007, at 21-26 (Ian Dodds-Smith & Michael Spencer eds., Global Legal Group 2007).
- Lin, Ping, *The Evolution of Competition Law in East Asia*, *in* Competition Policy in East Asia (Erlinda Medalla ed., Routledge Curzon 2005).
- Lopatka, John E. & Page, William H., *Indirect Purchaser Suits and the Consumer Interest*, 48 The Antitrust Bulletin 531-570 (2003).
- LOVELLS, STUDY ON THE ENFORCEMENT OF STATE AID RULES AT NATIONAL LEVEL (2009).
- Lowe, Philip & Marquis, Mel, eds., European Competition Law Annual 2011: Integrating Public and Private Enforcement of Competition Law Implications for Courts and Agencies (Hart Publishing, forthcoming in 2014).
- Mackenrodt, Mark-Oliver, et al., Abuse of Dominant Position: New Interpretation, New Enforcement Mechanisms? (Springer 2008).
- Maclachlan, Patricia L., Consumer Politics in Postwar Japan: The Institutional Boundaries of Citizen Activism (Columbia University Press 2002).

- Maher, Imelda, *National Courts as European Community Courts*, 14 Legal Studies 226-243 (1994).
- Marcos, Francisco, Why There Might Not Be Many Damage Claims Arising from the Spanish Property Insurance Cartel?, in Private Enforcement of Competition Law 303-333 (Luis Antonio Velasco San Pedro et al. eds., Lex Nova, 2011).
- Marshall, Jonathan, *Credible Commitments: Taxpayer Suits and Freedom of Information in Japan*, Paper Delivered at the 2001 Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association (2001).
- Marshall, Jonathan, *Leveraging Accountability: How Freedom of Information Brought Courts into Governance in Japan* (doctoral dissertation, unpublished) (2001).
- Marshall, Jonathan, Freedom of Information, Legal Mobilization, and the Taxpayer Suit Boom in Japan, USJP Occasional Paper 04-06 (2004).
- Marshall, Jonathan, *Who Decides the Role of Courts, State or Society?*, *in* Emerging Concepts of Rights in Japanese Law 135-158 (Laurent Mayali & Harry N. Scheiber eds., Robbins Collection Publications 2007).
- Masuda, Tatsuyoshi, *Economic Analysis of the Surcharge Payment System*, 24 Economic Journal of Hokkaido University 91-112 (1995).
- Matsui, Shigenori, A Comment Upon the Role of the Judiciary in Japan, 35 OSAKA UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 17-28 (1988)
- Matsumoto, Hideaki, Chihōjichihō no gaiyō [An Outline of the Local Autonomy Act] (4th ed., Gakuyōshobō 2012).
- Matsumoto, Hideaki, Chikujō chihō jichihō [Article-by-article Commentary on the Local Autonomy Act] 953-980 (6th ed., Gakuyōshobō 2011).
- Matsumoto, Tsuneo, *Privatization of Consumer Law: Current Developments and Features of Consumer Law in Japan at the Turn of the Century*, Hitotsubashi Journal of Law and Politics 1-14 (2002).
- Matsumoto, Tsuneo, Kyōsō chitsujo to minjihō minpō no shiten kara [Competition Policy and Private Law From the Viewpoint of Civil Law], in Kyōsō chitsujo to minjihō Nihon Keizaihō gakkai nenpō dai 19 gō [Competition Policy and Private Law The 19th Annual of the Japan Association of Economic Law] 27-55 (Nihon keizaihō gakkai [The Japan Association of Economic Law] ed., Yūhikaku 1998).
- Matsushita, Mitsuo & Chiteki Zaisan Kenkyūsho [Intellectual Property Research Center] eds., Kyōsō kankyō seibi no tame no minjiteki kyūsai [Civil Redress To Maintain a Competitive Environment], Bessatsu [Special Issue] NBL 44 (Shōji Hōmu 1997).
- Matsushita, Mitsuo, *The Structural Impediments Initiative: An Example of Bilateral Trade Negotiation*, 12 Michigan Journal of International Law 436-449 (1991).
- Matsushita, Mitsuo, International Trade and Competition Law in Japan (Oxford University Press 1993).
- Matsushita, Mitsuo, *The Antimonopoly Law of Japan*, *in* Global Competition Policy (Edward M. Graham & J. David Richardson eds., Institute for International Economics 1997).
- Matsushita, Mitsuo, *Reforming the Enforcement of the Japanese Antimonopoly Law*, 41 Loyola University Chicago Law Journal 521-534 (2010).

- MAYALI, LAURENT & SCHEIBER, HARRY N., EDS., EMERGING CONCEPTS OF RIGHTS IN JAPANESE LAW (Robbins Collection Publications 2007).
- McAfee, R. Preston, et al., *Private v. Public Antitrust Enforcement: A Strategic Analysis*, 92 Journal of Public Economics 1863-1875 (2008).
- Mehra, Salil K., Antitrust by Other Means: Haley on Form and Function, 8 WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY GLOBAL LEGAL STUDIES LAW REVIEW 333 (2009).
- Mehra, Salil K., Book Review: Politics and Antitrust in Japan: Antitrust in Germany and Japan: The First Fifty Years 1947-1998, 432 Vanderbilt Journal of International Law 303-317 (2002).
- Meyring, Bernd, *European Union*, *in* The Private Competition Enforcement Review (Ilene Knable Gotts ed., 2009).
- MICKLIZ, HANS-W. & DE WITTE, BRUNO, EDS., THE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE AND THE AUTONOMY OF MEMBER STATES (Intersentia 2012).
- MILUTINOVIĆ, VELJKO, THE "RIGHT TO DAMAGES" UNDER EU COMPETITION LAW: FROM COURAGE V. CREHAN TO THE WHITE PAPER AND BEYOND (Wolters Kluwer 2010).
- Minemura, Teruo & Shōda, Akira, Shiteki dokusen kinshihō (Nihon Hyōron Shinsha 1956).
- Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Nyūsatsu keiyaku tekiseika no tettei no tame no tōmen no hōsaku ni tsuite [Current Policy to Thoroughly Rectify Procurement Contracts] (15 April 2003), at www.mlit.go.jp.
- Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Kōji ni okeru iyakukin tokuyaku jōkō no kyōka ni tsuite [Concerning the Strengthening of Penalty Clauses in Contracts for Works] (6 September 2010), at http://www.mlit.go.jp.
- Miyakawa, Hiromitsu, *Japan*, *in* The International Handbook on Private Enforcement of Competition Law (Albert A. Foer & Jonathan W. Cuneo eds., Edward Elgar Pub. 2010).
- Monti, Giorgio, EC Competition Law 424-437 (Cambridge University Press 2007).
- MORIKAWA, HIDEMASA, ZAIBATSU: THE RISE AND FALL OF FAMILY ENTERPRISE GROUPS IN JAPAN (University of Tokyo Press 1992).
- Morita, Osamu, "Dokkinhō ihan no shihōjō no kōryoku" shiron [On the Validity of the Contract Which Violates the Anti-Monopoly Law], in Kyōsō chitsujo to minjihō
 - Nihon Keizaihō gakkai nenpō dai 19 gō [Competition Policy and Private Law
 - THE 19TH ANNUAL OF THE JAPAN ASSOCIATION OF ECONOMIC LAW] (Nihon keizaihō gakkai [The Japan Association of Economic Law] ed. 1998).
- Morony, Elizabeth & Morfey, Anna, *England & Wales*, *in* Getting the Deal Through Private Antitrust Litigation 2011 (Samantha Mobley ed., 2010).
- Möschel, Wernhard, *Japanisches Kartellrecht von aussen gesehen [Japanese Antitrust Law Viewed From the Outside]*, in Jahrbuch des Deutschen Instituts für Japanstudien, Bd. 14, at 165-177 (Deutsches Institut für Japanstudien ed., Iudicium Verlag, 2002).
- Motta, Massimo, On Cartel Deterrence and Fines in the European Union, 29(4) European Competition Law Review 209-220 (2008).
- Mulheron, Rachael P., The Class Action in Common Law Legal Systems: A Comparative Perspective (Hart Pub. 2004).

- Mulheron, Rachael, *Justice Enhanced: Framing an Opt-Out Class Action for England*, 70 Modern Law Review 550-580 (2007).
- Mulheron, Rachael, *Reform of Collective Redress in England and Wales: A Perspective of Need*, Research Paper for the Civil Justice Council (2008), at http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/Resources/JCO/Documents/CJC/Publications/Other%20papers/CJC%20Reform%20of%20collective%20redress.pdf.
- Mulheron, Rachael, *The Case for an Opt-out Class Action for European Member States: A Legal and Empirical Analysis*, 15 Columbia Journal of European Law 409-453 (2009).
- Mulheron, Rachael, *Emerald Supplies Ltd v British Airways plc: A Century Later, The Ghost of Markt Lives On*, 8 Competition Law Journal 159 (2009).
- Mulheron, Rachael, A Missed Gem of an Opportunity for the Representative Rule, European Business Law Review 49-60 (2011).
- Murakami, Masahiro, *Competition Rules and Enforcement in the US, EU and Japan, in* Competition Policy in the Global Trading System 95-106 (Clifford A. Jones & Mitsuo Matsushita eds., Kluwer Law International 2002).
- Murakami, Masahiro, The Japanese Antimonopoly Act Nihon no dokusenkinshihō (Shōji Hōmu 2003).
- Murakami, Masahiro & Yamada, Takeo, Dokusenkinshihō to sashitome songai baishō [The Antimonopoly Act Injunctive Relief and Damages Actions] (2nd ed., Shōji Hōmu 2005).
- Murayama, Makoto, *Private Enforcement of Antitrust Law in Japan, in* Competition Policy in the Global Trading System 243-254 (Clifford A. Jones & Mitsuo Matsushita eds., Kluwer Law International 2002).
- Nakagawa, Akihiko, *Incrementally Redesigning Antitrust Enforcement: A Response to Professors Crane and Kurita*, 10 Shinsedai Hōsei Sakugaku Kenkyū [Hokkaido Journal of New Global Law and Policy] 97-107 (2011).
- Narita, Yoriaki, Jūmin soshō seido minaoshi no keika to sōten shin yongō soshō wo chūshin ni [The Process and Issues of the Revision of the Residents' Lawsuits System With a Focus on Litigation Pursuant to the New Article 242-2(1)(iv)], 55(8) Hōritsu no hiroba 44-51 (2002).
- Negishi, Akira, Economic Law, 26 Law in Japan: An Annual 34-41 (2000).
- Negishi, Akira, ed., Chūshaku dokusen kinshihō [Commentary on the Antimonopoly Law of Japan] (Yūhikaku 2009).
- Negishi, Akira & Eisele, Ursula, *Recht der Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen*, in Handbuch Japanisches Handels- und Wirtschaftsrecht 745-794 (Harald Baum & Moritz Bälz eds., Carl Heymanns Verlag 2011).
- NIHON BENGOSHI RENGŌKAI [JAPAN FEDERATION OF BAR ASSOCIATIONS], SHINKI TŌROKU BENGOSHI NO TAME NO MINJI BENGO JITSUMU HANDOBUKKU [HANDBOOK ON HANDLING CIVIL LITIGATION FOR NEWLY REGISTERED LAWYERS] 47 (Japan Federation of Bar Associations 2008).
- Ninomiya, Teruoki, *Funding, Costs and Proportionality in Civil Justice Systems in Japan*, Paper Submitted at the International Conference on Litigation Costs and Funding, 6 & 7 July 2009, available at www.csls.ox.ac.uk/documents/JAPAN. doc (2009).

- Nippon Hōsō Shuppan Kyōkai, Nihon no shōhisha undō [The Japanese Consumer Movement] (Nippon Hōsō Shuppan Kyōkai 1980).
- Noble, Robin & Pilsbury, Simon, *Is 10 per Cent the Answer? The Role of Legal Presumptions in Private Competition Litigation*, 1 Global Competition Litigation Review 124-132 (2008).
- ODA, HIROSHI, JAPANESE LAW 327-362 (3d ed., Oxford University Press 2009).
- Oda, Yūichi, *Minji kyūsai seido no kakujū [Expansion of the Civil Redress System]*, in Heisei 21-nen kaisei dokkinhō no kaisetsu to bunseki [Examination and Analysis of the 2009 Amendment to the Antimonopoly Act] (Tetsuya Nagasawa ed., Shōji Hōmu 2009).
- OECD, Japan The Role of Competition Policy in Regulatory Reform (1999).
- OECD, Annual Report on Competition Policy Developments in Japan January-December 2007 (2007), http://www.jftc.go.jp/e-page/reports/annual/japan07.pdf.
- OECD, PRIVATE REMEDIES (2007), http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/24/62/39892177.pdf.
- Ohara, Yoshimi, *Japan*, *in* The Asia-Pacific Arbitration Review 2012, at 36 (Global Arbitration Review ed., 2011).
- Ohara, Yoshimi *Japan*, *in* The Asia-Pacific Arbitration Review 2013, at 44 (Global Arbitration Review ed., 2012)
- Ōhashi, Toshimichi, Dokusenkinshihō no sashitome seikyū seido hōshikōgo 6 nenme ni okeru hanrei to riron no mondai [The Injunctive Relief System of the Antimonopoly Act Case Law and Theoretical Problems After Six Years], 52 Fukuoka Daigaku Hōgaku Ronsō 197-231 (2007).
- Okatani, Naoaki, *Regulations on Bid Rigging in Japan, the United States and Europe*, 4 Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal 249-266 (1995).
- Okada, Toshihiro, Kyōsō taisaku to minjihō minjiteki kyūsai no genjō [Competition Policy and Civil Law: A Study of Private Actions], in Kyōsō chitsujo to minjihō
 - Nihon Keizaihō gakkai nenpō dai 19 gō [Competition Policy and Private Law
 - The 19th Annual of the Japan Association of Economic Law] 74-98 (Nihon keizaihō gakkai [The Japan Association of Economic Law] ed., Yūhikaku 1998).
- Okada, Toshihiro, *Dokkinhōjō no songai baishō seido no kisō katei [The Legislative History of the Damages Provisions in the Antimonopoly Law]*, 60 Komazawa daigaku hōgaku ronshō 217-236 (2000).
- Ōkawa, Takashi, *Dangō Mondai Bunkakai Hōkoku [Report from the Subsection on Bid-rigging]* (2010), http://www.ombudsman.jp/dangou/toyama.pdf.
- Okuda, Masamichi, *Mukō oyobi torikeshi [Invalidity and Cancellation]*, *in* Chūshaku minpō [Civil Law Commentary] 212-250 (Fujio Oho ed., Yūhikaku 1967).
- Ōmura, Atsushi, *Torihiki to kōjo hōrei ihan kōi kōryoku ron no saikentō (ge) [Trade and Public Policy A Re-examination of the Theory on the Effect of Unlawful Conduct]*, 1025 JURISUTO 66-74 (1993).
- Ormosi, Peter L., *How Big is a Tip of the Iceberg? A Parsimonious Way to Estimate Cartel Detection Rate*, CCP Working Paper 11-6 (2011)
- O'MALLEY, PAT, THE CURRENCY OF JUSTICE: FINES AND DAMAGES IN CONSUMER SOCIETIES (Routledge-Cavendish 2009).
- Orey, Michael, Europe Inc. Takes Aim at Price-Fixers, Businessweek, 22 October 2009.

- Ostoyich, Joseph, et al., *More of the Same: Growth in Private Antitrust Litigation and Cutbacks by the US Supreme Court, in* GLOBAL COMPETITION REVIEW: THE ANTITRUST REVIEW OF THE AMERICAS 2009, at 1-4 (2008).
- Ōuchi, Yoshizo, Kabunushi daihyō soshō to dokusenkinshihō ihan kōi [Derivative Actions and Violations of the Antimonopoly Act], 44(1) Ала нōдаки 103-113 (2009).
- Oxera & Assimakis Komninos, *Quantifying Antitrust Damages: Towards Non-binding Guidance for Courts Study Prepared for the European Commission*, http://ec.europa.eu/competition/antitrust/actionsdamages/quantification_study.pdf (December 2009).
- Page, William H., The Limits of State Indirect Purchaser Suits: Class Certification in the Shadow of Illinois Brick, 67 Antitrust Law Journal 1 (1999).
- Page, William H., Class Interpleader: The Antitrust Modernization Commission's Recommendation to Overrule Illinois Brick, 53 The Antitrust Bulletin 725 (2008).
- PAGE, WILLIAM H. & LOPATKA, JOHN E., THE MICROSOFT CASE: ANTITRUST, HIGH TECHNOLOGY, AND CONSUMER WELFARE (University of Chicago Press 2009).
- Parlak, Süleyman, Passing-on Defence and Indirect Purchaser Standing: Should the Passing-on Defence Be Rejected Now the Indirect Purchaser Has Standing After Manfredi and the White Paper of the European Commission?, 33 WORLD COMPETITION LAW & ECONOMICS REVIEW 31-53 (2010).
- Petroleum Association of Japan, Petroleum Industry in Japan (September 2010).
- Peyer, Sebastian, *Injunctive Relief and Private Antitrust Enforcement*, Centre for Competition Policy (CCP) Working Paper 11-7 (2011).
- Peyer, Sebastian, *Private Antitrust Litigation in Germany From 2005 to 2007: Empirical Evidence*, 8(2) Journal of Competition Law & Economics 331-359 (2012).
- Peysner, John, *Costs and Financing in Private Third Party Competition Damages Actions*, 3 The Competition Law Review 97-115 (2006).
- Pineau, Elena Rodriguez, Conflict of Laws Comes to the Rescue of Competition Law: The New Rome II Regulation, 5 Journal of Private International Law 311-336 (2009).
- Polinsky, A. M., *Private Versus Public Enforcement of Fines*, 9 Journal of Legal Studies 105 (1980).
- Polinsky, A. Mitchell & Shavell, Steven, *Should Liability Be Based on the Harm to the Victim or the Gain to the Injurer?*, 10 Journal of Law, Economics and Organization 427-437 (1994).
- Port, Kenneth L., Trademark and unfair competition law and policy in Japan (Carolina Academic Press 2007).
- Posner, Richard A., Economic Analysis of Law (5th ed., Aspen Law & Business 1998)
- POSNER, RICHARD A., ANTITRUST LAW (2nd ed., University of Chicago Press 2001).
- Puchniak, Dan W. & Nakahigashi, Masafumi, Japan's Love for Derivative Actions: Irrational Behavior and Non-Economic Motives as Rational Explanations for

- Shareholder Litigation, 45 Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law 1-82 (2012).
- Radicati di Brozolo, Luca, L'illicéité "qui crève les yeux": critère de contrôle des sentences au regard de l'ordre public international (à propos de l'arrêt Thalès de la Cour d'appel de Paris) [Unlawfulness that "Jumps Out": The Criterion for Scrutiny of Arbitral Awards in Light of International Public Policy (Concerning the Paris Court of Appeal Decision in Thalès), 2005(3) Revue de l'arbitrage 529-560.
- Ramseyer, J. Mark, *Trustbusting in Japan: Cartels and Government-Business Cooperation*, 94 Harvard Law Review 1064-1084 (1981).
- Ramseyer, J. Mark, *Japanese Antitrust Enforcement After the Oil Embargo*, 31 American Journal of Comparative Law 395-430 (1983).
- Ramseyer, J. Mark, *The Costs of the Consensual Myth: Antitrust Enforcement and Institutional Barriers to Litigation in Japan*, 94 Yale Law Journal 604-645 (1985).
- Ramseyer, J. Mark, *Toward a Theory of Jurisdictional Competition: The Case of the Japanese FTC*, 1 JOURNAL OF COMPETITION LAW AND ECONOMICS 247-277 (2005).
- Reich, Robert B., The Antitrust Industry, 68 GEORGETOWN LAW JOURNAL 1053 (1980).
- Renda, Andrea, et al., *Making Antitrust Damages Actions More Effective in the EU:* Welfare Impact and Potential Scenarios Final Report (21 December 2007), http://ec.europa.eu/competition/antitrust/actionsdamages/files_white_paper/impact_study.pdf.
- Riley, Alan & Peysner, John, *Damages in EC Antitrust Actions: Who Pays the Piper?*, 31(5) European Law Review 748-761 (2006).
- RILL, James F. & Chambers, Christine S., *Antitrust Enforcement and Non-Enforcement as a Barrier to Import in the Japanese Automobile Industry*, 24 Empirica 109-135 (1997).
- Robertson, Aidan, *UK Competition Litigation: From Cinderella to Goldilocks?* 9(2) Competition Law Journal 275-294 (2010).
- Rodger, Barry J. & MacCulloch, Angus, *Wielding The Blunt Sword: Interim Relief* for Breaches of EC Competition Law Before the UK Courts, 17(7) EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW REVIEW 393-402 (1996).
- Rodger, Barry J., *Competition Law Litigation in the UK Courts: A Study of All Cases to 2004 Part I*, 2006 European Competition Law Review 241-248 (2006).
- Rodger, Barry J., *Competition Law Litigation in the UK Courts: A Study of All Cases to 2004 Part II*, 2006 European Competition Law Review 279-292 (2006).
- Rodger, Barry J., Competition Law Litigation in the UK Courts: A Study of All Cases to 2004 Part III, 2006 European Competition Law Review 341 (2006).
- Rodger, Barry J., *Private Enforcement of Competition Law, the Hidden Story:* Competition Litigation Settlements in the United Kingdom, 2000-2005, 29 European Competition Law Review 96-116 (2006).
- Rodger, Barry J., Competition Law Litigation in the UK Courts: A Study of All Cases 2005-2008 Part I, 2009 GLOBAL COMPETITION LITIGATION REVIEW 93-114 (2009).

- Rodger, Barry J., Competition Law Litigation in the UK Courts: A Study of All Cases 2005-2008 Part II, 2009 GLOBAL COMPETITION LITIGATION REVIEW 136-147 (2009).
- Rodger, Barry J. & MacCulloch, Angus, Community Competition Law Enforcement Deregulation and Re-regulation: The Commission, National Authorities and Private Enforcement, 4 Columbia Journal of European Law 579-612 (1998).
- Rosch, J. Thomas, *Striking a Balance? Some Reflections on Private Enforcement in Europe and the United States* (24 September 2008), at http://www.ftc.gov/speeches/rosch/080924strikingbalance.pdf.
- Rosenberg, David & Sullivan, James P., Coordinating Private Class Action and Public Agency Enforcement of Antitrust Law, 2 JOURNAL OF COMPETITION LAW AND ECONOMICS 159-187 (2006).
- Rosenthal, Douglas E. & Matsushita, Mitsuo, *Competition in Japan and the West:* Can the Approaches Be Reconciled?, in GLOBAL COMPETITION POLICY (Edward M. Graham & J. David Richardson eds., Institute for International Economics 1997).
- Roth, Wulf-Henning, *Private Enforcement of European Competition Law Recommendations Flowing from the German Experience*, in Private Enforcement of EC Competition Law (Jürgen Basedow ed., Kluwer Law International).
- Russell, Tiana Leia, *Exporting Class Actions to the European Union*, 28 Boston University International Law Journal 141-180 (2010).
- Sacco, Rodolfo & De Nova, Giorgio, *Obbligazioni e Contratti (Vol. X, Tomo II)*, *in* Trattato di Diritto Privato 635 (Pietro Rescigno ed., UTET 2002).
- Sadaka, Kenichi & Sawasaki, Nobuhito, *Japan*, *in* The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Litigation & Dispute Resolution 2010, at 186-192 (Global Legal Group ed., Global Legal Group 2010).
- SAEKI, HITOSHI, SEISAIRON [THEORY OF SANCTIONS] (Yūhikaku 2009).
- Sato, Tsuneo, *Japan*, *in* International Civil Procedure 379-392 (Shelby R. Grubbs ed., Kluwer Law International 2003).
- Salop, Steven C. & White, Lawrence J., *Economic Analysis of Private Antitrust Litigation*, 74 Georgetown Law Journal 1001-1064 (1986).
- Schaede, Ulrike, Cooperative Capitalism: Self-regulation, Trade Associations, and the Antimonopoly Law in Japan (Oxford University Press 2000).
- Schoors, Tom, Baeyens, Tinneke & Devroe, Wouter, Schadevergoedingsacties na kartelinbreuken [Damages Actions After Cartel Infringements], 239 NIEUW JURIDISCH WEEKBLAD 198-213 (2011).
- Schreiber, Till, *Private Antitrust Litigation in the European Union*, 44 The International Lawyer 1157-1172 (2010).
- Schwartz, Warren F., *Private Enforcement of the Antitrust Laws: An Economic Critique* (American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research 1981).
- Segal, Ilya & Whinston, Michael, *Public vs. Private Enforcement of Antitrust Law: A Survey*, European Competition Law Review 306-315 (2007).
- Seita, Alex Y. & Tamura, Jirō, *The Historical Background of Japan's Antimonopoly Law*, University of Illinois Law Review 115-185 (1994).
- Sensui, Fumio & Nishimura, Nobufumi, Genshi dokusenkinshihō no seitei katei to genkōhō he no shisa [The Enactment of the Original Antimonopoly Act and Its

- *Implications for the Current Antimonopoly Act]*, 2006, *at* http://www.jftc.go.jp/cprc/english/cr-0206.pdf.
- Sensui, Fumio & Nishimura, Nobufumi, 1947 nen dokusenkinshihō no keisei to seiritsu: genshi dokkinhō ni okeru shuyō kitei no seitei katei [Initiative, Compromises, and Discrepancies: Discovering the Origin of Japanese Antimonopoly Law], 56(2) Kobe hōgaku zasshi 51-309 (2009).
- Sensui, Fumio & Nishimura, Nobufumi, Genshi dokusenkinshihō no seitei katei to genkōhō he no shisa Kōtorii no soshiki, shihō seido, songai baishō, keiji seido [The Enactment of the Original Antimonopoly Act and Its Implications for the Current Antimonopoly Act The JFTC's Organization, Judicial System, Damages and Criminal System], 2008, http://www.jftc.go.jp/cprc/english/cr-0408abstract.pdf
- Sensui, Fumio, *Sashitome seikyū oyobi songai baishō [Injunctions and Damages]*, in Chūshaku dokusenkinshihō [Commentary on the Antimonopoly Law of Japan] 573-602 (Akira Negishi ed., Yūhikaku 2009).
- Sensui, Fumio & Nishimura, Nobufumi, 1947 nen dokusenkinshihō no keisei to seiritsu (II) – Kōtorii no soshiki, shihō seido, songai baishō, keiji seido (1) [Discovering the Origin of Japanese Antimonopoly Law (II) – JFTC's Organisation, Judicial System, Compensation for Damages and Criminal System (1)], 59(2) Kobe hōgaku zasshi 1-176 (2009).
- Sensui, Fumio & Nishimura, Nobufumi, 1947 nen dokusenkinshihō no keisei to seiritsu (II) Kōtorii no soshiki, shihō seido, songai baishō, keiji seido (2) [Discovering the Origin of Japanese Antimonopoly Law (II) JFTC's Organisation, Judicial System, Compensation for Damages and Criminal System (2)], 59(3) KOBE HŌGAKU ZASSHI 1-131 (2009).
- Seryo, Shingo, *Private Enforcement and New Provisions for Damages and Injunctions in Japan, in* Competition Policy in the Global Trading System 255-294 (Clifford A. Jones & Mitsuo Matsushita eds., Kluwer Law International 2002).
- SHAVELL, STEVEN, FOUNDATIONS OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF LAW (Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 2004).
- Shepherd, George B., *An Empirical Study of the Economics of Pretrial Discovery*, 19 International Review of Law and Economics 245-263 (1999).
- Sheppard, Audley, Interim Report on Public Policy as a Bar to Enforcement of International Arbitral Awards 11-14 (International Law Association, Committee on International Commercial Arbitration, 2000), http://www.ila-hq.org/download.cfm/docid/E723662E-053C-415A-A4C7822577AE6B4F.
- Shiraishi, Tadashi, Sashitome seikyū seido wo dōnyū suru dokkinhō kaisei (jō) [The Amendment to the Antimonopoly Act to Introduce the Injunctive Relief System (First Part)], 695 NBL 6-9 (2000).
- Shiraishi, Tadashi, Sashitome seikyū seido wo dōnyū suru dokkinhō kaisei (ge) [The Amendment to the Antimonopoly Act to Introduce the Injunctive Relief System (Second Part)], 696 NBL 48-60 (2000).
- Shiraishi, Tadashi, Keiyakuhō no kyōsōseisakutekina ichi danmen [Contract Law from a Competition Policy Perspective], 1126 Jurisuto 125-132 (1998).

- Shiraishi, Tadashi, *Dokkinhō no minjitekina enfōsumento [Private Enforcement of Antitrust in Japan]*, in Dokusenkinshihō no enfōsumento nihon keizaihō gakkai nenpō dai 22 gō [The Antimonopoly Enforcement The 22nd Annual of the Japan Association of Economic Law] (Nihon keizaihō gakkai ed., Yūhikaku 2001).
- SHIRAISHI, TADASHI, DOKUSENKINSHIHŌ [COMPETITION LAW OF JAPAN] (2nd ed., Yūhikaku 2009).
- SHIRAISHI, TADASHI, DOKKINHŌ KŌGI [AN INTRODUCTION TO THE COMPETITION LAW OF JAPAN] 260-266 (5th ed., Yūhikaku 2010).
- SHIRAISHI, TADASHI, DOKKINHŌ JIREI NO KANDOKORO [THE KEY POINTS OF COMPETITION LAW CASE LAW] (2nd ed., Yūhikaku 2010).
- Shōda, Akira, *Dokusen kinshihō ihan kōi to songai baishō*, Keizaihō Gakkai Nenpō 1-18 (1982), *translated in* 16 Law in Japan: An Annual 1-20 (Stephan Salzberg, trans. 1983).
- Shōji, Kunihiko, *Dai 26 jō 1 kō / 2 kō Songai baishō seikyū ken no kōshi, shōmetsu jikō [Arts. 26(1) and 26(2) The Exercise of the Right to Damages, Statute of Limitations], in* Jōkai dokusenkinshihō [Article-By-article Commentary on the Antimonopoly Act] 467-484 (Jōji Atsuya et al. eds., Kōbundō 1997).
- Shughart, William F. II, *Private Antitrust Enforcement: Compensation, Deterrence, or Extortion?*, 13 REGULATION MAGAZINE 53-61 (1990).
- Sittenreich, Marc A., *The Rocky Path for Private Directors General: Procedure, Politics, and the Uncertain Future of EU Antitrust Damages Actions*, 78 FORDHAM LAW REVIEW 2701-2750 (2010).
- Sōda, Takayuki, Dokkinhō minji soshō [Private Antitrust Litigation] (LexisNexis Japan 2008).
- Steenbergen, Jacques, *Private Enforcement: Some Comments on the Belgian Experience*, *in* Private Enforcement of Competition Law 149-154 (Luis Antonio Velasco San Pedro et al. eds., Lex Nova 2011).
- Stedman, Robert W., *Consent Decrees and Private Action: An Antitrust Dilemma*, 53 California Law Review 627-654 (1965).
- Stigler, G.J., *The Optimum Enforcement of Laws*, 78 The Journal of Political Economy 526 (1970).
- Straetmans, G. & Stuyck, J., De wet van 6 april 2010 betreffende marktpraktijken en consumentenbescherming Een onvoldoende stap in de goede richting [The Law of 6 April 2010 Concerning Market Practices and Consumer Protection An Insufficient Step in the Right Direction], 74 Rechtskundig Weekblad 386-419 (2010).
- Stuyck, Jules, L'effet réflexe du droit de la concurrence sur les normes de loyauté de la loi sur les pratiques du commerce [The Reflexive Effect of Competition Law on the Fair Competition Rules in the Fair Trade Practices Act], 2001 Revue critique de jurisprudence belge 256-269.
- Stuyck, Julien, et al., Modernisation of European Competition Law: The Commission's Proposal for a New Regulation Implementing Articles 81 and 82 EC (Intersentia 2002).

- Stuyck, Jules, *Case Note to ECJ Courage v. Crehan*, European Review of Contract Law 228-239 (2005).
- Sugawara, Ikuo & Osaka, Eri, *The Current Situation of Class Action in Japan* (2007), http://globalclassactions.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/documents/Japan_National_Report.pdf.
- Suwazono, Sadaaki, Heisei 17 nen kaisei dokusenkinshihō [The Antimonopoly Act After the 2005 Amendment] (Shōjihōmu 2005).
- Suzuki, Takayuki, *Tetsuzuki [Proceedings]*, in Chūshaku dokusen kinshihō [Commentary on the Antimonopoly Law of Japan] 641-762 (Akira Negishi ed., Yūhikaku 2009).
- Takahashi, Iwakazu, *The Development of Competition Law for the Last 15 Years in Japan: Progress or Setback?*, in The Development of Competition Law: Global Perspectives (Andreas Heinemann et al. eds., Edward Elgar 2010).
- Takigawa, Toshiaki, *Competition Law and Policy of Japan*, 54 The Antitrust Bulletin 435-516 (2009).
- Tamura, Jirō & Chen, Andrew, *Competition and Fair Trade*, *in* Japanese Business Law 453-482 (Gerald Paul McAlinn ed., Wolters Kluwer 2007).
- Tanaka, Hideo & Takeuchi, Akio, *The Role of Private Persons in the Enforcement of Law: A Comparative Study of Japanese and American Law*, 7 LAW IN JAPAN 34-50 (1974).
- Taniguchi, Yasuhei, *The 1996 Code of Civil Procedure of Japan A Procedure for the Coming Century?*, 45 American Journal of Comparative Law 767-791 (1997).
- TILTON, MARK, RESTRAINED TRADE: CARTELS IN JAPAN'S BASIC MATERIALS INDUSTRIES (Cornell University Press 1996).
- Tsuru, Shigeto, Survey of Economic Research in Postwar Japan Major Issues of Theory and Public Policy Arising out of Postwar Economic Problems, 54 The American Economic Review 79-101 (1964).
- Tsurumi, Yoshi, *Japan*, Daedalus: Journal of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences 113-127 (Fall 1975).
- Tsūshōsangyōshō sangyō seisaku kyoku [Bureau for Industrial Policy of the Ministry of International Trade and Industry] ed., Fukōseina kyōsōkōi ni tai suru minjiteki kyūsai seido no arikata [The Way Forward for the Civil Redress System Against Unfair Competition], Bessatsu [Special Issue] NBL 49 (Shōji hōmu 1998).
- Tushnet, Mark, New Forms of Judicial Review and the Persistence of Rights- And Democracy-Based Worries, 38 Wake Forest Law Review 813-838 (2003).
- Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal Parlementaire Enquêtecommissie Bouwnijverheid [Dutch House of Representatives Investigatory Commission Concerning the Construction Industry], *Eindrapport [Final Report]* (12 December 2002).
- UCHIDA, TAKASHI, MINPŌ I [CIVIL LAW I] 291-292 (4th ed., Tokyo Daigaku Shuppankai 2008).
- Upham, Frank K., Stealth Activism: Norm Formation by Japanese Courts, 88 Washington University Law Review 1493-1505.
- U.S. Japan Working Group on the Structural Impediments Initiative, *Joint Report* (28 June 1990).

- Uesugi, Akinori, *How Japan is Tackling Enforcement Activities Against Cartels*, 13 George Mason Law Review 349-365 (2005).
- UPHAM, FRANK K., LAW AND SOCIAL CHANGE IN POSTWAR JAPAN (Harvard University Press 1987).
- Vallindas, Georges & Brohée Julie, *Amicus Curiae in the Context of EU Regulation* 1/2003: An Overview of EU and National Case Law, E-Competitions No. 45411, www.concurrences.com.
- Vandermeersch, Dirk, De Mededingingswet [The Belgian Competition Act] 494-508 (Kluwer 2007).
- Vande Walle, Simon, Collective Actions by Indirect Purchasers Lessons from the Japanese Oil Cartel Cases, in Collective Actions: Enhancing Access to Justice AND RECONCILING MULTILAYER INTERESTS 299-338 (Stefan Wrbka, Steven Van Uytsel & Mathias Siems eds., Cambridge University Press 2012).
- Vande Walle, Simon, *Private Enforcement of Antitrust Law in Japan: An Empirical Analysis*, 8 Competition Law Review 7-28 (2011).
- van Gerven, Walter, Substantive Remedies for the Private Enforcement of EC Antitrust Rules Before National Courts, in European Competition Law Annual 2001: Effective Private Enforcement of EC Antitrust Law 53-93 (Claus-Dieter Ehlermann & Isabela Atanasiu eds., Hart Pub. 2003).
- Van Lierop, W.A.J & Pijnacker Hordijk, E.H., Privaatrechtelijke aspecten van het mededingingsrecht [Private Law Aspects of Competition Law] (Kluwer 2007).
- Velasco San Pedro, Luis Antonio et al. eds., Private Enforcement of Competition Law (Lex Nova 2011).
- Verlinden, Joost & Sabbe, Stijn, *Belgium*, *in* The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Class & Group Actions 2012, at 40-44 (Ian Dodds-Smith & Alison Brown eds., Global Legal Group 2011).
- Verougstraete, Ivan & Bossuyt, Amaryllis, *Damages Actions for Breach of the EC Antitrust Rules*, 2009(4) Tijdschrift voor Belgische Mededinging 17-26.
- VISSER 'T HOOFT, WILLEM MAURITS, JAPANESE CONTRACT AND ANTI-TRUST LAW: A SOCIOLOGICAL AND COMPARATIVE STUDY (Routledge Curzon 2002).
- Visser 't Hooft, Willem, *The Subtle Interplay Between the FTC and the Civil Courts. Three Famous Termination Disputes Within the Distribution System for Luxury Cosmetics*, 7(13) JOURNAL OF JAPANESE LAW 7-27 (2002).
- Völcker, Sven B., Case Comment Case C-360/09, Pfleiderer AG v. Bundeskartellamt, Judgment of the Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) of 14 June 2011, 49 COMMON MARKET LAW REVIEW 695-720 (2012).
- Waelbroeck, Denis, et al., Study on the Conditions of Claims for Damages in Case of Infringement of EC Competition Rules (31 August 2004).
- WAKUI, MASAKO, ANTIMONOPOLY LAW COMPETITION LAW AND POLICY IN JAPAN (Arima Publishing 2008).
- Waller, Spencer Weber, *Towards a Constructive Public-Private Partnership to Enforce Competition Law*, 29 World Competition Law & Economics Review 367-381 (2006).

- Wautelet, Patrick, Concurrence déloyale et actes restreignant la libre concurrence [Unfair Competition and Acts Restricting Free Competition], 2008/6 REVUE DE DROIT COMMERCIAL 502-514 (2008).
- West, Mark D., The Puzzling Divergence of Corporate Law: Evidence and Explanations from Japan and the United States, 150 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 527 (2001).
- WHISH, RICHARD, COMPETITION LAW (5th ed., Oxford University Press 2005).
- Whish, Richard & Bailey, David, Competition Law (7th ed., Oxford University Press 2012).
- WHITE, LAWRENCE J., PRIVATE ANTITRUST LITIGATION: NEW EVIDENCE, NEW LEARNING (MIT Press 1988).
- WILS, WOUTER P.J., THE OPTIMAL ENFORCEMENT OF EC ANTITRUST LAW: ESSAYS IN LAW & ECONOMICS (Kluwer Law International 2002).
- Wils, Wouter P.J., Should Private Enforcement be Encouraged in Europe?, 26 World Competition Law & Economics Review 473-488 (2003).
- WILS, WOUTER P.J., PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT (Hart Pub. 2005).
- Wils, Wouter P.J., Efficiency and Justice in European Antitrust Enforcement (Hart Pub. 2008).
- Wils, Wouter P.J., *The Relationship Between Public Antitrust Enforcement and Private Actions for Damages*, 32 World Competition Law & Economics Review 3-26 (2009).
- Wollschläger, Christian, *Historical Trends of Civil Litigation in Japan, Arizona, Sweden, and Germany: Japanese Culture in the Light of Judicial Statistics, in* Japan, Economic Success and Legal System 89-142 (Harald Baum ed., Walter de Gruyter 1997).
- WOODALL, BRIAN, JAPAN UNDER CONSTRUCTION: CORRUPTION, POLITICS AND PUBLIC WORKS (University of California Press 1996).
- Woodgate, Tony & Filippi, Ilaria, *The Jurisdiction of the CAT: A Time for Change?*, 2012(1) Competition Law Review 22-27.
- Wurmnest, Wolfgang, A New Era for Private Antitrust Litigation in Germany? A Critical Appraisal of the Modernized Law Against Restraints of Competition, 6 GERMAN LAW JOURNAL 1173-1189 (2005).
- X, Roundtable Conference with Enforcement Officials, June 2010 THE ANTITRUST SOURCE 1-28 (2010).
- X, Interview with Dr. Alexander Italianer, Director General for Competition, European Commission, April 2011 The Antitrust Source 1-18 (2011).
- X, Luchtvaartkartel krijgt reuzeclaim van verladers [Airline Cartel Hit by Giant Claim from Shippers], DE VOLKSKRANT (30 September 2010).
- X, Tokushima chihō ga minji soshō de kudashita hanketsu "dangō ga atta to tsuyoku suinin sareru" [Judgment from the Tokushima Disrict Court in Civil Case: "It Is Strongly Presumed that There Was Bid-Rigging"], 95 NIKKEN DAIKYŌ 5-6 (2003).
- X, Gyōsei kanshi no buki ni chuchō shokuin aite no jūmin soshō ga kyūzō jichitai konwaku [Towards a Weapon to Inspect the Administration Surge in Residents' Lawsuits Against Heads of Local Governments and Staff Local Governments Distressed], Asahi Shinbun, 23 July 1998.

- Yamaguchi, Hiroshi, Kōkyō nyūsatsu dangō to songaibaishō seikyū Saitama doyōkai dangō jiken jūmin soshō [Bid-Rigging for Public Works and Damages Actions The Residents' Lawsuit in the Saitama Saturday Club Case], 45(4) Jiyū to seigi 77 (1994).
- Yasumoto, Norio, Jūmin soshō shin yon gō soshō no kōzō to kaishaku [Residents' Lawsuits Structure and Interpretation of the New Article 242-2(1)(iv)], 292 RITSUMEIKAN HŌGAKU 383-424 (2003).
- Yanagida, Yukio, et al., Law and Investment in Japan: Cases and Materials (2nd ed., East Asian Legal Studies Program 2000).
- Yeung, Karen, *Privatizing Competition Regulation*, 18 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 581-615 (1998).
- Yokota, Masatoshi, Shiteki dokusenkinshihō ihan no hōritsu kōi no kōryoku shihōken to kōseitorihikiiinkai no kengen to no kankei [Validity of Juristic Acts that Violate the Antimonopoly Act The Relationship Between the Judicial Power and the Authority of the Fair Trade Commission], 1 Hōsō Jihō 270-271 (1949).
- ZIPPRO, ERIK-JAN, PRIVAATRECHTELIJKE HANDHAVING VAN MEDEDINGINGSRECHT [PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT OF COMPETITION LAW] (Kluwer 2009).
- Zweigert, Konrad & Kötz, Hein, Introduction to Comparative Law (3d ed., Oxford University Press 1998).